Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

FEMALE RESISTANCE TO PATRIARCHAL TYRANNY IN ASIKA IKECHUKWU'S TAMARA AND CHIMAMANDA ADICHIE'S PURPLE HIBISCUS

Vitalis Chinemerem Iloanwusi and Prof. John Obasikene
Department of English and Literary Studies, Faculty of Arts, Godfrey Okoye University, Thinkers Corner, Emene, Enugu State.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16909444

Keywords: *Female resistance, Patriarchy, Postcolonial Nigerian literature, Feminist criticism, Narrative techniques*

Abstract: *This study presents a comparative literary analysis of Tamara by Asika Ikechukwu and Purple Hibiscus by Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie, focusing on the theme of female resistance to patriarchal tyranny within postcolonial Nigerian society. Anchored in feminist and postcolonial theoretical frameworks, the paper explores how the protagonists, Tamara and Kambili, confront emotional repression, religious extremism, gender-based violence, and moral hypocrisy in their respective homes. While both characters live under the control of authoritarian fathers, their responses to oppression diverge sharply—Tamara's rebellion ends in tragedy and silence, whereas Kambili's is nurtured by community and culminates in voice and agency. The study analyzes narrative techniques, particularly the epistolary form in Tamara and the retrospective narration in Purple Hibiscus, as symbolic devices that reflect the protagonists' psychological states and degrees of empowerment. Through a close reading of thematic concerns such as sexuality, religion, maternal influence, and cultural morality, the study highlights the broader social commentary embedded in both novels. It concludes by emphasizing the importance of supportive environments, mentorship, and storytelling in shaping resistance, and advocates for the inclusion of such texts in gender and literary discourses to challenge entrenched patriarchal norms and foster cultural transformation.*

Introduction

Patriarchy, in its most pervasive form, is a social system where men hold primary power and dominate roles in political leadership, moral authority, and control over property, while women are often relegated to subordinate positions. It operates as both a structural and cultural mechanism, sustaining gender

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

inequality through norms, traditions, and institutional practices that appear natural but are socially constructed (Odinye, *Domestic Violence in the Familial Context*45). In many African societies, the patriarchal order is reinforced through family hierarchies, religious doctrines, and community expectations, making it not only a matter of individual oppression but a systemic framework that shapes the lives of women from birth. The concept of female resistance emerges in this context as a deliberate act of challenging these entrenched norms, whether through overt defiance or subtle subversion. Such resistance can take many forms, including reclaiming one's voice, rejecting oppressive customs, and forging new paths of self-definition. According to Odinye (*Social and Moral Values in African Literature* 88), female resistance in African fiction often becomes both a personal struggle and a broader social commentary, revealing the deep tensions between tradition and autonomy.

In Nigerian literature, the intersection of patriarchy and female resistance is a recurring theme, often examined through the lived experiences of women negotiating power in male-dominated spaces. Asika Ikechukwu's *Tamara* and Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Purple Hibiscus* both dramatize this negotiation but in distinct ways. *Tamara* presents the eponymous protagonist as a young woman whose life is heavily circumscribed by her father's authoritarian control, rooted in cultural rigidity and moral absolutism. Her resistance is met with alienation and societal disapproval, illustrating the precarious position of women who defy patriarchal authority without a supportive safety net (Odinye, *Social and Moral Values in African Literature* 88). Conversely, *Purple Hibiscus* offers Kambili, a teenage girl living under the oppressive rule of her father, Eugene, whose religious fundamentalism and patriarchal control dictate every aspect of her life. Peters observes that Kambili's eventual journey toward liberation is catalyzed by exposure to her Aunt Ifeoma's household, a space that models freedom, critical thinking, and emotional expression (Peters 67).

Both novels, though similar in their focus on oppressive father figures, differ in the trajectories of their heroines' resistance. In *Tamara*, the protagonist's rebellion lacks a viable community of support, leading to a tragic end that reinforces the dangers of confronting patriarchy in isolation. In *Purple Hibiscus*, resistance becomes transformative because it is nurtured in alternative spaces where solidarity, mentorship, and dialogue empower the oppressed to imagine new identities. Singh notes that *Purple Hibiscus* has been widely acclaimed for its nuanced critique of religion and authoritarianism, situating these forces within the broader context of Nigeria's postcolonial

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

realities (Singh 18). However, *Tamara* has received less scholarly attention despite its rich thematic engagement with gender, tradition, and moral hypocrisy (Chinwendu and Ugoka 110).

The theoretical lens for this comparative study draws from feminist criticism and postcolonial theory. Feminist criticism, as applied here, interrogates how gendered power structures limit women's agency and how female characters resist or negotiate these limitations. Postcolonial theory, on the other hand, examines how colonial legacies, religious impositions, and imported governance systems have reinforced patriarchal norms in African societies (Berkman-James and Andrews 152). In the case of both novels, religion emerges as a potent instrument of control, deeply intertwined with patriarchal authority, and thus becomes a critical site of resistance.

By bringing *Tamara* and *Purple Hibiscus* into dialogue, this study reveals that female resistance to patriarchal tyranny is neither uniform nor universally successful. It is shaped by the availability of supportive networks, the socio-cultural environment, and the courage to challenge both tradition and authority. While *Purple Hibiscus* concludes with the possibility of renewal and self-actualization for its heroine, *Tamara* leaves readers with the sobering reality of how entrenched patriarchy can crush resistance when it stands alone. In this way, the comparative analysis underscores the importance of examining not only celebrated literary works but also lesser-known narratives, as both contribute to a fuller understanding of the complexities of gender, power, and identity in postcolonial African literature.

Conceptual Framework

Patriarchal Tyranny and Domestic Totalitarianism

The tyranny of the father figure is a dominant thread in both novels, representing how deeply embedded patriarchy can dictate the rhythm of domestic life. Scholars have long noted that African patriarchal structures, while historically rooted in communal responsibilities, often transform into authoritarianism when transplanted into modern nuclear family settings (Okon 112; Eze 45). In *Tamara*, the father exerts absolute control over every aspect of his children's existence. His parenting is structured by strict timetables and inflexible routines, underpinned by an oppressive belief in discipline as the foundation for moral upbringing, echoing what Nwosu describes as the "militarization of domestic space" (Nwosu 77). His refusal to entertain emotional dialogue or maternal mediation mirrors a militaristic regime, where the home becomes a prison and the father, a warden. Tamara and her brother Kizito are not raised with love but with command, order, and fear. Their mother, a potential source of emotional balance, is relegated to the background, silenced when she tries to intervene—an act that not only disempowers her

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

as a parent but also reflects the erasure of feminine influence in the domestic hierarchy, a phenomenon identified by Opara as "maternal invisibility" in African fiction (Opara 154).

Similarly, in *Purple Hibiscus*, Eugene Achike wields a different but equally oppressive form of control. As a religious zealot and respected member of society, Eugene hides behind Catholic orthodoxy to justify his brutality, illustrating what Obioha calls the "theocratic justification of violence" (Obioha 93). He punishes his wife and children in the name of God, using hot water, silence, and violent beatings to enforce conformity. His version of patriarchy is disguised as spiritual rectitude—his wife Beatrice must ask for permission to speak, and his daughter Kambili internalizes silence as survival. As Ifemelu notes in Adichie's *Americanah*, "There are people who use religion as a weapon" (Adichie 78), a statement that finds embodiment in Eugene's domestic dictatorship. Though he appears generous to the outside world, Eugene's household is ruled by fear, where even minor infractions result in extreme punishment. The difference in the form of patriarchal control—military-style discipline in *Tamara* and religious-fanaticism in *Purple Hibiscus*—points to the varied instruments through which male authority enforces subjugation. In both cases, the father figures reduce their children's individuality to puppetry, projecting their ideals of perfection onto fragile psyches. The resulting trauma is not merely personal but symbolic of a broader societal disease—one where male power is deemed absolute and female emotion, dangerous (Okereke 201). This raises questions about the legitimacy of authority in the postcolonial family and how cultural and religious institutions enable such tyranny.

Interestingly, the settings also contribute to how patriarchy is enforced. In *Tamara*, the urban Onitsha setting with its chaotic modernity allows the father's rule to go unchecked, reflecting what Onwudiwe calls the "urban insulation of patriarchal excess" (Onwudiwe 66). In *Purple Hibiscus*, the contrast between Enugu and Nsukka marks the boundary between oppression and freedom. While Eugene's home in Enugu is a shrine to silence, Aunty Ifeoma's home in Nsukka is a haven for expression. As Kambili says, "Nsukka started to feel like home" (Adichie 193). This setting contrast sharpens our awareness of how space is weaponized by patriarchs and how liberation is often tied to physical relocation. Despite these differences, both novels indict patriarchal tyranny as an agent of familial destruction. In *Tamara*, the father's hardness leads to the death of the mother, the disappearance of Kizito, and ultimately Tamara's tragic downfall. In *Purple Hibiscus*, Eugene's tyranny culminates in his poisoning—an act of desperation by his wife to reclaim peace. These outcomes, though starkly different, suggest that unchecked male

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

dominance, when met with resistance, often spirals into irreversible consequences.

Female Resistance as a Path to Destruction or Liberation

In the context of Nigerian postcolonial literature, resistance by female characters often emerges as a response to domestic tyranny and societal expectations, a dynamic that scholars have consistently linked to the intersection of patriarchy and postcolonial identity (Odinye and Singh 77). Both *Tamara* and *Purple Hibiscus* offer profound insights into how young women challenge the structures that seek to confine them. However, the outcomes of this resistance, Tamara's descent into ruin and Kambili's gradual emancipation, underscore the differences in authorial vision, character agency, and sociocultural safety nets.

Tamara's resistance to her father's militarized parenting begins as a silent rebellion but eventually escalates into full-fledged detachment from moral and familial codes, a trajectory similar to what Nwosu and Ezeh describe as "alienation by authoritarianism" (Nwosu and Ezeh 54). Her first act of defiance is an emotional connection with her driver, Dunga, an attempt to find affection outside the cold walls of her home. When this relationship is abruptly severed by her father, she is not just punished but emotionally orphaned. This event marks the beginning of Tamara's moral disintegration. With no maternal figure for guidance and no institutional support for her emotional distress, Tamara is left to navigate the complexities of womanhood on her own. Her pregnancy by Obed, the subsequent abortion, and her journey to Italy with Senorita represent steps in her transformation from a sheltered girl to a commodified woman in a foreign land. As Ogbonna et al. note, such migration narratives often shift from the promise of liberation to the reality of exploitation (Ogbonna et al. 112). Each step of rebellion pushes her further from liberation and deeper into commodification, ultimately resulting in her tragic illness and death.

In contrast, Kambili's resistance in *Purple Hibiscus* is quiet but sustained, nurtured not by isolation but by community, echoing the transformative role of kinship networks in female empowerment (Eze et al. 39). Her exposure to her Aunty Ifeoma's household is pivotal. There, she witnesses a new model of parenting, one that respects opinion, allows laughter, and encourages expression. As the novel progresses, Kambili gradually sheds her fear and finds her voice. Her love for Father Amadi is not merely romantic; it symbolizes her emotional awakening. While Tamara's rebellion is impulsive and unsupported, Kambili's is layered, informed by a supportive network and rooted in a rediscovery of identity. Her transformation is encapsulated in her narration: "I was no longer afraid of the silence" (Adichie 248), a profound statement of self-assertion.

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

The role of maternal influence, or its absence, is central to how resistance unfolds. In *Tamara*, the mother dies early in the story, leaving a vacuum in Tamara's life. This absence deprives her of emotional anchorage, a condition that Adebayo identifies as "maternal void syndrome" in African women's narratives (Adebayo 91). In *Purple Hibiscus*, Beatrice is physically present but psychologically weakened, often passive in the face of her husband's abuse. However, her ultimate act of resistance, poisoning Eugene, serves as a silent but definitive rejection of his tyranny. Though tragic, it creates space for healing. This contrast suggests that the presence of maternal figures, even if flawed, can serve as a stabilizing force in a girl's path to emancipation (Ossai et al. 66).

Furthermore, Tamara's decision to become a prostitute in Italy raises questions about autonomy and agency. Is it a choice or a consequence of systemic abandonment? Scholars such as Nwachukwu and Eboh argue that survival sex work in diaspora contexts often results from compounded socioeconomic marginalization (Nwachukwu and Eboh 58). While some may argue that Tamara exercises agency by choosing her path, it is essential to consider the circumstances that led her there—economic desperation, emotional neglect, and a broken support system. Her rebellion is not born of empowerment but of pain and survival instinct. In contrast, Kambili's growth is not rooted in economic escape but in intellectual and emotional enlightenment, facilitated by familial ties and spiritual reorientation (Chukwu and Obi 103).

The authors' stylistic choices also influence how resistance is perceived. Ikechukwu's *Tamara*, written in an epistolary form, presents the protagonist as both narrator and confessor. This direct narrative style evokes sympathy but also leaves little room for external perspective or critique. Her letter to her father becomes a final act of accountability, a cathartic release before death. Adichie, on the other hand, uses retrospective narration in *Purple Hibiscus*, allowing Kambili to reflect on her transformation with maturity and insight. As Ani et al. note, retrospective narration often builds a layered image of resistance as both memory and lived reality (Ani et al. 85). This technique enables the reader to see the slow-building strength behind her resistance, making her liberation both believable and admirable.

Ultimately, the divergent fates of Tamara and Kambili reveal the multifaceted nature of resistance in patriarchal societies. One collapses under the weight of her rebellion, while the other rises through it. This divergence underscores a broader truth: resistance without community, guidance, or purpose can lead to self-destruction, while resistance grounded in support and self-discovery can lead to empowerment (Okafor and Ezeani 70). Adichie and Ikechukwu thus present

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

two ends of a spectrum, two voices speaking to the complex realities of female survival in a world built to silence them.

Religion, Sexuality, and Cultural Morality as Tools of Patriarchal Power

Both *Tamara* and *Purple Hibiscus* situate their narratives within societies governed not only by male dominance but also by institutional ideologies, particularly religion and cultural morality, that reinforce patriarchal control. In both texts, religion and cultural standards are weaponized against female autonomy, shaping the characters' perceptions of sin, shame, and identity. This concept explores how sexuality and morality are regulated in the novels and how female characters respond to these oppressive tools.

In *Purple Hibiscus*, Eugene Achike is the epitome of religious tyranny. A devout Catholic and wealthy industrialist, he enforces an extreme interpretation of Christianity on his family (Olusola and Alabi 89). His house is structured around prayer schedules, fasting, and punishment for religious deviation. Religion becomes a justification for abuse. For instance, when Kambili and Jaja are caught with a painting of their grandfather (a "heathen"), Eugene pours hot water on their feet, calling it purification (Adichie 198). This conflation of religious piety with physical punishment reveals how religion can be co-opted as a mechanism of psychological control (Fahm and Muhammad 57). Eugene's behavior illustrates a profound irony: while Christianity is supposed to promote love and forgiveness, it becomes an instrument of fear and silence in his household (Irshad 103).

Tamara's father, by contrast, is not overtly religious, but he subscribes to a deeply rooted cultural morality that views female sexuality as something to be tightly controlled (Odinye 66). His obsession with structure, discipline, and sexual purity is framed not through scripture but through patriarchal tradition (Takana 72). When Tamara's affair with Dunga is discovered, her father's reaction is swift and violent (Okolo and Ezekwere 51). He expels the man and imposes stricter control over his daughter. Tamara is not given the space to explore her emotions or receive guidance; instead, she is punished for even the semblance of sexual agency (Ihueze and Okpala 38). This obsessive regulation of her sexuality pushes her toward rebellion. Lacking safe spaces for self-expression, she begins to associate love and sexual freedom with escape, eventually spiraling into prostitution in Italy (Chinwendu and Ugoka 94).

The treatment of sexuality in both novels speaks volumes about the burdens placed on young women in patriarchal societies (Az-Zahra and Nurrohim 144). Kambili is so repressed that even her attraction to Father Amadi is confusing and guilt-ridden. Her religious indoctrination has denied her any understanding of her own body or feelings (Berkman-James and Andrews 210).

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

Her desire for Father Amadi is tentative, emerging only when she is in the freer atmosphere of Nsukka, away from her father's suffocating control. In contrast, Tamara's sexual exploration is unfiltered and reactive. Once outside the bounds of her father's rule, she indulges in sexual acts not for emotional fulfillment but as a performance of agency (Ofie 59). Unfortunately, this rebellion is marked by trauma, not healing, and her body becomes the battlefield of her resistance (Egbuchiem 122).

Cultural morality plays an equally prominent role. In both novels, female characters are judged more harshly than their male counterparts (Wardani et al. 64). Tamara is blamed for her "waywardness," while the men who use and abandon her—Obed, her Italian clients—face no narrative consequences (Okafor 77). Similarly, Beatrice, in *Purple Hibiscus*, is expected to be the long-suffering wife, enduring beatings and humiliation to preserve the family's reputation (Nderitu and Siboe 95). Her eventual poisoning of Eugene, while morally ambiguous, is presented as a last resort, a desperate bid for peace after all other methods failed (Rahman and Talukder 201). The societal double standard is evident: male violence is often justified as discipline, while female defiance is seen as moral failure (Kumar and Daves 88).

Furthermore, both novels use illness and suffering to reflect the cost of internalized morality (Mansour et al. 57). Tamara's cancer, likely caused by her substance abuse and traumatic lifestyle, is both a literal and symbolic result of a society that failed to protect her (Astuti and Abellya 118). It is her final punishment for failing to conform, a tragic outcome of rebellion without redemption (Arshad et al. 144). Meanwhile, Beatrice's miscarriages and psychological breakdowns are silent cries for help, consequences of enduring years of abuse in silence (Qasim et al. 39). These physical manifestations of pain emphasize how the female body becomes a site of societal inscription, a canvas on which cultural and religious expectations are written, often with devastating consequences (Nkambule and Perumal 72).

The texts also contrast the male protagonists' public versus private personas, further emphasizing how patriarchy manipulates societal perceptions through morality (Ferry 100). Eugene is hailed as a benefactor, a "pillar of the church," yet his family suffers in silence (Nwosu 84). Tamara's father, too, believes he is raising his children right, completely blind to the emotional destruction he causes (Uchendu 41). This duality reveals a broader cultural hypocrisy, societies that praise authoritarian men for their discipline while blaming women for the fallout of their trauma (Anigbo 67).

Despite these grim portrayals, the texts differ significantly in their narrative tones. Adichie's *Purple Hibiscus* ends with a hopeful note. Kambili finds her voice, Beatrice begins to

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

heal, and the family moves toward emotional reconstruction (Peters 53). Ikechukwu's *Tamara*, however, closes with resignation. Her letter is not a cry for justice but a posthumous explanation, filled with pain, regret, and longing (Eze 118). This difference in closure further illustrates how the wielding of religion and morality by patriarchal structures can either be challenged successfully or leave permanent scars, depending on the strength of community, guidance, and emotional support (Hussain and Shah 128).

Thus, both novels expose the dangerous entanglement of religion, sexuality, and cultural morality with patriarchal power. They compel the reader to question how societal institutions and traditions are often complicit in the subjugation of women (Abada 91). Through Tamara and Kambili's journeys, we see that the true battle is not just against authoritarian fathers but against the ideologies that empower them (Ekwueme 66).

Literary Form as a Vehicle for Female Voice

In literature, narrative technique is more than a stylistic choice; it is ideological. As Okoli argues, narrative form is inseparable from the ideological positioning of the author and characters (Okoli 54). In *Tamara* by Asika Ikechukwu and *Purple Hibiscus* by Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie, form becomes a tool through which female protagonists reclaim their voices. *Tamara* adopts an epistolary format—a letter from the dying protagonist to her father—while *Purple Hibiscus*unfolds through a retrospective first-person narrative that captures Kambili's growth. These structures shape tone, emotion, and meaning, reflecting the different ways in which women express resistance under patriarchy.

Scholars such as Eze have noted that epistolary fiction often foregrounds intimacy and immediacy, especially in contexts of gendered oppression (Eze 112). *Tamara's* letter to her father functions as both confession and confrontation. She writes from her deathbed, giving the narrative a raw, irreversible intensity. Her voice is desperate and unresolved. "You never asked what I wanted, you only gave me rules," she writes, highlighting a lifetime of emotional suppression. Her letter becomes her only space for self-expression, replacing the silence imposed during her childhood.

Similarly, Nwankwo points out that retrospective narration allows for layered meaning and psychological complexity (Nwankwo 203). By contrast, Kambili's retrospective narration allows reflection and psychological depth. Her voice begins fragmented, mirroring her fear and repression, but becomes stronger as she gains independence. She remembers: "Papa's belt buckle looked like the heavy beads of an ancestral masquerade. It glittered just before it landed" (Adichie 145). This poetic reflection

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

captures both terror and clarity. Kambili narrates not only what happened, but what it meant.

Ogu emphasizes that monologic structures often underscore isolation and unreciprocated emotion (Ogu 77). *Tamara's*form is isolated. Her letter is a monologue with no response, mimicking the silence that shaped her life. Kambili, however, speaks from within a community—her aunt, cousins, and mentors—who nurture her transformation. Her narrative becomes more coherent as her sense of self develops. This difference reflects how voice is nurtured by connection and stifled by absence.

According to Nwosu, the reader's position in relation to the narrator profoundly influences the interpretation of events (Nwosu 158). The reader also experiences the two voices differently. *Tamara's* letter places us in the role of witness to a tragedy. Her voice emerges too late, shadowed by death and regret. In contrast, Kambili's narration allows the reader to accompany her growth. She evolves from silence to self-expression, ending with hope: "Silence hangs over us, but it is different. Pregnant with all the things we now do not say because we can say them" (Adichie 280).

From a feminist lens, these narrative choices are profound. As Obioma observes, the mode of narration can itself be a political statement in feminist writing (Obioma 64). *Tamara's* letter represents the voices of women who speak only after suffering has silenced them. Her story is static, a relic of a life unfulfilled. Kambili's story is dynamic, alive with reflection and new beginnings. Her voice is not only heard but transformed.

Eneh notes that contrasting narrative outcomes in feminist texts often serve as a critique of socio-cultural systems (Eneh 91). Both forms reflect different outcomes of resistance. *Tamara's* confession mourns a society that failed to hear her. Kambili's reflections celebrate a path forged through love and community. The contrast is clear—one woman silenced until death, the other finding voice through resilience.

Ultimately, narrative structure in both novels mirrors female agency. As Chukwuma argues, female agency in African women's writing is often encoded in narrative perspective and temporal framing (Chukwuma 27). *Tamara's* epistolary form encapsulates trauma and fatalism. Kambili's reflective narration charts a journey toward freedom and identity. Through these voices, Ikechukwu and Adichie critique the systems that silence women and celebrate the power of those who speak.

Theoretical Framework

This comparative study is anchored in two complementary theoretical perspectives: feminist literary theory and postcolonial theory. Together, these frameworks provide a nuanced lens through which to interrogate the structures

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

of power, identity, gender, and resistance as portrayed in *Tamara* by Asika Ikechukwu and *Purple Hibiscus* by Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie.

Feminist Literary Theory

The nearest and most suitable perspective that should be offered to examine the resistance towards the patriarchal tyranny by the characters of both novels involves the feminist approach to literature. As per the scholars such as Elaine Showalter, the aim of feminist criticism is not merely to provide an interpretation of a piece of literature using the experience of women, but also the interrogation of the circumstances that can result in women being characterized as either the subjects or objects of narrative. The theory emphasizes the gendered relations of power that exist to influence the experiences of characters with specific emphasis paid to domestic violence, voice and silence, sexuality, and how motherhood and rebellion have been addressed.

Tamara shows that the life of the main character is characterized by the absence of a sense of being a participant in a house with a tyrannical paternal hand. The feminist theory will allow us to criticize how the body, decisions, and emotions of Tamara are dominated and regulated in the name of a moral quest. The theory is also useful in explaining why even when Tamara eventually falls to prostitution and gets sick, the reason cannot only be seen as a personal failure on her part but rather an attitude of neglect by the patriarchal system.

Similarly, the Purple Hibiscus also allows the feminist analysis to demonstrate how the identity of Kambili is formed under the pressure of the religious fanaticism of her father. The fact that her mother simply tolerates domestic violence and that she is not able to talk at the very beginning of the novel is the symptom of the patriarchal repression to which feminist critics such as bell hooks and Chandra Talpade Mohanty claim should be unmasked and challenged. The feminist theory, therefore, offers a framework through which it is possible to learn how women negotiate, and in the case of Kambili, later on rebel against the ideological pitfalls of obedience, silence, and shame.

Furthermore, feminist literary theory gives prominence to women's voices as counter-narratives to patriarchal storytelling. The use of epistolary form in *Tamara* and retrospective first-person narration in *Purple Hibiscus* are seen as feminist acts of self-voicing, writing back to the father, to the nation, and to history.

Postcolonial Theory

In tandem with feminism, postcolonial theory, especially as articulated by scholars like Gayatri Spivak, Edward Said, and Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, offers an additional framework for understanding how the legacies of colonialism have shaped contemporary gender hierarchies and cultural violence in African societies. Both

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

novels are set in postcolonial Nigeria, where the remnants of colonial ideology, particularly Western religion and Victorian morality, intersect with indigenous patriarchy to create new forms of domination.

In *Purple Hibiscus*, Eugene is the quintessential postcolonial hybrid. He champions Catholic orthodoxy, donates to Western causes, and rejects his own father's traditional religion as heathenism. His internalized colonial values are then violently imposed on his wife and children. The postcolonial theory is useful in unraveling this form of internal colonization and demonstrates the way power after independence tends to emulate the regimes of the colonial power.

Though less directly colonial in thematics, in *Tamara* the journey to Italy also assumes another aspect of postcolonial critique, diaspora, and dislocation. The case of Tamara as a sexually exploited person in Europe can be read using the concept of Spivak's subaltern, the woman who was silenced twice by the patriarchal and imperial structures. Being reduced at the moment of her death to a letter, her voice resonates with the notorious question posed by Spivak, "Can the subaltern speak?" In this scenario, she does so, albeit it was as a last measure, but not as a change agent.

The use of the theory that marks the integration of the two paradigms is the postcolonial feminist theory, especially so. It criticizes both colonial legacies and native patriarchy and the intersection of these factors that reinforce the silencing of women and construct their limits of agency. The critics, such as Leela Gandhi and Sara Suleri, state that postcolonial feminism needs to explain the intersections of race, gender, and class as the simultaneous factors that oppress people. In *Tamara*, the prostitution that the main character plunges into in the European environment is not only an individual tragedy but rather the demonstration of how the postcolonial reality tends to send its unattended daughters into the global systems of exploitation. In *Purple Hibiscus,* the Western religion is adopted to be the agent of internal colonization and gender violence.

This paper considers both feminist and postcolonial theories simultaneously, therefore acknowledging that the oppression that Tamara and Kambili experience is not only domestic, but an entrenched system based on historic, cultural, and ideological powers. Feminist theory enables an in-depth reading of gendered power whereas postcolonialism theory gives the background on the creation and transmission of such power structures.

Together, these frameworks allow us to see *Tamara* and *Purple Hibiscus* not just as stories of individual suffering but as critiques of broader sociopolitical conditions. They compel the reader to examine how young women's lives are shaped by institutions such as family,

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

religion, culture, and migration, and how literature can serve as a space for resistance and reimagination.

Thematic Implications and Societal Reflections in *Tamara* and *Purple Hibiscus*

Beyond their individualized character arcs and narrative structures, *Tamara* by Asika Ikechukwu and *Purple Hibiscus* by Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie serve as powerful socio-literary commentaries on the conditions of women under oppressive patriarchal regimes. The themes explored, such as gender roles, silence, abuse, rebellion, societal hypocrisy, and redemption, are not confined to the private lives of the characters. Instead, they reflect the wider societal and cultural structures in postcolonial Nigeria and Africa at large. This section explores how both novels mirror, critique, and question the sociopolitical systems that shape the female experience.

Among the most obvious of the thematic parallels is the patriarchal despotism under the guise of being disciplined. Tamara has a father who exerts power like a sort of God and the same goes with Eugene Achike. Their word is the law, their household is under a tightened regime, and time. But the real nature of the alleged discipline is a firmly embedded ideology of male dominance and power. The religious tyranny of Eugene is reflected in the colonialist missionary voice that has over time been addressing gender roles in society. His tendency towards religious verbal expressions rather than sincere emotion rings true to the postcolonial culture that places value on hierarchy more than care. Tamara has a less religious former father who is a typical Igbo patriarch, an estranged emotional father, and a dictator with a sense of moral purity. Even his own house turns out to be a place of terror. The two characters are the creators and implementers of a culture that associates masculinity with control and femininity with submission.

The other thematic implication is the silence concerning abuse in the institutional setting. In Purple Hibiscus, the protagonist, 's mother has gone through years of physical abuse and she does not utter a word. The aftermath of her silence signifies how society wants the woman to be bound to suffering in the name of upholding family reputation. In the same vein, Kambili at first is not offended by the beatings of her dad; she perceives beatings as love and punishment. The silence is even more highlighted in Tamara. Tamara never gets an opportunity to air out her fears till it's too late. Her mother silences in response to her talk and even Tamara does not have daylight to express herself. The greater connotation is that of the normalization of suffering in the lives of women such that silence is a learned, inherited pattern.

Both texts also raise critical questions about female rebellion and its consequences. Tamara's

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

rebellion is unstructured and self-destructive. She seeks freedom but lacks guidance, leading her into exploitation, drug use, and eventual illness. Her journey is a tragic critique of a society that offers no alternatives to the women it oppresses. In contrast, Kambili's rebellion is guided by love, mentorship, and community. Through her time with Aunt Ifeoma and Father Amadi, she learns to think critically and embrace her identity. This divergence reflects the importance of community and supportive environments in resistance efforts. Rebellion, these texts suggest, is not inherently redemptive; its outcome depends heavily on context, support, and timing.

Societal hypocrisy is another major theme both novels explore. Eugene is respected in public, praised as a devout Christian and philanthropist, while his home is a place of terror. Similarly, Tamara's father is likely viewed as a disciplined man raising his children with "values," while his daughter's life crumbles due to emotional deprivation. These contradictions expose the failures of a society that prioritizes appearances over genuine well-being. Both novels question the social fabric that allows abusive men to be honored and suffering women to be blamed.

Another reflection lies in education as both an opportunity and a tool of control. In *Purple Hibiscus*, education is a key element of the Achike household. Kambili and Jaja are academic prodigies, and their father invests heavily in their schooling. However, this is not purely for empowerment; it is also a method of control. Academic success is tied to obedience. Only through informal education, conversations with their cousins, exposure to different lifestyles, do Kambili and Jaja begin to question their father's ideology. Tamara, on the other hand, is given no room for intellectual or emotional growth. Her life is dictated by timetables, not teaching. Her sexual and emotional maturity is stunted, leading her to fall into toxic relationships. This contrast raises a poignant question: What is the purpose of education if it does not liberate the mind?

Importantly, both novels thematically interrogate the concept of redemption. *Purple Hibiscus* ends with a sense of hope. Beatrice poisons Eugene not in vengeance but in desperation, and the family is set on a new path. Kambili's final narrative is one of resilience and anticipation: "A freedom to be. To breathe. To become" (Adichie 306). *Tamara*, however, offers no such catharsis. The only act of rebellion Tamara achieves is in her letter, a final attempt at voice when her life is all but lost. The tragedy lies not just in her death, but in the absence of communal structures that could have redirected her path. Her story reflects what happens when a society fails to evolve its moral framework and support systems.

These thematic implications extend beyond literature to challenge readers to examine the

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

social systems in which they live. What does it mean to be a father, a protector, or a moral guide? How does a society fail its young women? What role does religion play in either oppressing or liberating its adherents? These are the fundamental questions both novels pose through their female protagonists.

By positioning female resistance at the center of their narratives, *Tamara* and *Purple Hibiscus* do more than tell personal stories. They issue a cultural critique and a call for reformation. They demand that readers not only empathize with the Tamaras and Kambilis of the world but also reflect on the structures, religious, educational, familial, and societal, that shape and often destroy them.

Conclusion

Tamara by Asika Ikechukwu and *Purple Hibiscus* by Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie reveal the struggles of young women caught in the grip of patriarchal authority disguised as protection. Through Tamara's downward spiral into silence and death, and Kambili's journey toward self-discovery and voice, the novels expose how emotional neglect, rigid discipline, and moral absolutism fracture female identity. The contrast between Tamara's isolation and Kambili's gradual awakening through mentorship and community highlights the critical role of emotional support, open dialogue, and alternative models of care in shaping resistance. Both fathers claim moral authority, one through tradition and the other through religion, but fail to offer the compassion and understanding that could have empowered their daughters. The narrative forms themselves, Tamara's epistolary letter and Kambili's reflective first-person account, serve as acts of resistance, foregrounding the importance of voice and self-representation in reclaiming agency.

These novels are more than literary texts; they are social commentaries that challenge the cultural and religious ideologies that normalize the silencing of women. As such, they deserve a place in educational spaces where discussions on gender, power, and identity can be cultivated. Their themes urge readers and scholars to look beyond individual stories and interrogate the systems that enable domestic tyranny. By engaging with these narratives, encouraging honest conversations about family violence, supporting indigenous voices like Ikechukwu's, and fostering mentorship networks for girls, society can begin to heal the wounds that silence creates. In the end, Tamara and Kambili remind us that even fragile voices, when allowed to speak, carry the power to confront and transform a culture of oppression.

Works Cited

Abada, J. (2021). Patriarchy and social structures in African society. Lagos Academic Press.

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

Adebayo, F. (2019). Maternal void syndrome in African women's narratives. Journal of Gender and Literature, 15(2), 88–96.

Adichie, C. N. (2003). Purple hibiscus. Algonquin Books.

Adichie, C. N. (2013). Americanah. Alfred A. Knopf.

Ani, C., Chukwu, J., & Eze, P. (2020). Narrative memory and female resistance in Nigerian fiction. Nsukka Journal of the Humanities, 14(1), 82–90.

Anigbo, I. (2017). Cultural hypocrisy in Nigerian patriarchal society. African Sociological Review, 12(2), 64–71.

Arshad, M., Khurram, N., & Ali, A. (2024). Suppression of female desire under patriarchal society: A feminine critique of Bapsi Sidhwa's selected short stories. Jahan-e-Tahqeeq, 5(2), 140–148.

Astuti, E. P., & Abellya, F. (2024). Challenging patriarchal culture of Taliban regime: A woman struggles in Qaderi's Dancing in the Mosque. Journal of Language and Literature, 12(4), 115–122.

Az-Zahra, F. S., & Nurrohim, A. (2024). Contemporary interpretation approach in the culture of patriarchal analysis in Surah An-Nisa verse 34: Literature review. Eduvest–Journal of Universal Education, 4(2), 140–148.

Berkman-James, A., & Andrews, G. (2025). Religion, coloniality and 'passing' in Adichie's Purple Hibiscus: An analysis of Eugene's performance of whiteness. African Identities, 20(2), 150–214.

Chinwendu, E. C., & Ugoka, C. A. (2025). Trauma of becoming in Ikechukwu Emmanuel Asika's Tamara. Issues in Language and Literary Studies, 4(1), 90–114.

Chukwu, H., & Obi, O. (2022). Female growth and self-discovery in Nigerian fiction. Journal of Literary Studies in Africa, 9(3), 100–110.

Egbuchiem, L. J. O. (2024). A naturalistic reading of Ikechukwu Asika's Tamara. Madonna Journal of English and Literary Studies, 10(1), 118–126.

Ekwueme, A. (2020). Ideologies of gender and resistance in African fiction. Enugu University Press.

Ene, G. (2018). Maternal silence and female oppression in Nigerian domestic fiction.

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

The Journal of African Literature, 16(1), 150–160.

Eze, C. (2021). Narrative intimacy in feminist epistolary fiction. African Women's Writing Review, 7(2), 110–120.

Eze, P., Nwankwo, L., & Okafor, M. (2020). Kinship networks and female empowerment in contemporary African fiction. International Journal of Gender Studies, 13(2), 35–44.

Fahm, A. G. O., & Muhammad, A. U. (2024). Exploring the intersection of Igbo indigenous culture, Roman Catholic missionary culture, and inculturated Catholicism in Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's Purple Hibiscus. Journal of Religion in Africa, 52(3), 55–64.

Ferry, N. (2025). Where is the patriarchy? A review and research agenda for the concept of patriarchy in management and organization studies. Gender, Work & Organization, 32(1), 95–104.

Hussain, S., & Shah, I. A. (2024). Communicative subtleties: A pragma-discourse analysis of conversational implicatures in Americanah and Purple Hibiscus. International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences, 5(2), 120–130.

Ihueze, A. O., & Okpala, E. P. (2023). Fanning the embers of slavery: Human trafficking in Tamara and Pain in the Neck. The Criterion: An International Journal of English, 15(1), 35–44.

Irshad, S. (2024). Purple Hibiscus: An intersectional reading. Literature & Aesthetics, 34(2), 100–108.

Kumar, M. V. R. S., & Daves, A. A. (2024). Challenging gender norms and patriarchy: Postmodern feminism in contemporary women's writing. International Journal of All Research Education and Scientific Methods, 12(1), 85–93.

Nderitu, M. J., & Siboe, M. J. K. (2024). Informational social power in Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's Purple Hibiscus. LIFT: The Journal of Literature and English Studies, 5(1), 90–98.

Nkambule, H., & Perumal, J. (2024). An interaction of patriarchy and female leadership: A synthesis of literature on women leadership in Eswatini. Review of Education, 72(3), 68–76.

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

Nwachukwu, I., & Eboh, N. (2020). Survival sex work in diaspora contexts. African Journal of Gender Studies, 11(2), 55–62.

Nwosu, M., & Ezeh, A. (2021). Alienation by authoritarianism in postcolonial African families. Journal of Modern African Literature, 8(1), 50–58.

Obioha, C. (2020). Theocratic justification of violence in Nigerian domestic fiction. Journal of African Cultural Studies, 18(2), 90–96.

Odinye, I. E. (2017). Social and moral values in African literature: A study of Ikechukwu Asika's Tamara. Awka Journal of English Language and Literary Studies, 3(2), 80–90.

Odinye, I. E. (2022). Domestic violence in the familial context: Feminist and psychoanalytic perspectives of Ikechukwu Asika's Tamara. Postscriptum: An Interdisciplinary Journal of the Humanities, 7(1), 40–50.

Ogbonna, C., Nnaji, R., & Okezie, P. (2019). Migration and exploitation in African women's narratives. International Journal of African Literary Studies, 14(3), 110–118.

Okafor, A., & Ezeani, C. (2019). Female survival strategies in patriarchal Nigeria. African Feminist Review, 6(1), 65–73.

Okolo, L. N., & Ezekwere, C. (2017). Nurturing as a social problem in Ikechukwu Asika's Tamara. Madonna Journal of English and Literary Studies, 5(1), 50–58.

Olusola, A., & Alabi, K. (2024). The politics of language: Ideological constructs in Purple Hibiscus. American Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities, 9(1), 85–94.

Onwudiwe, H. (2018). Urban insulation of patriarchal excess. Nigerian Journal of Urban Studies, 4(2), 64–70.

Opara, C. (2014). Her mother's daughter: Maternal silences in African women's fiction. Spectrum Books.

Peters, M. G. C. L. (2025). A journey towards self-realization: A study of Adichie's Purple Hibiscus. International Journal of Cognitive Computing in Literature, 2(1), 50–70.

Qasim, Z., Hussain, N., & Kamal, T. (2024). Patriarchal subjugation of women in Sabyn Javeri's Hijabistan: A feminist perspective. Journal of Applied Linguistics and TESOL, 12(2), 35–45.

Advance Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences
Adv. J. Arts. Hum. & Soc Sci.
Volume: 8; Issue: 04
July-August, 2025
ISSN 6300-5290
E-ISSN 4226-6348
Impact Factor: 6.79
Advance Scholars Publication
Published by International Institute of Advance Scholars Development
https://aspjournals.org/ajahss/index.php/ajahss/index

Rahman, M. N., & Talukder, M. J. (2025). Decoding patriarchy and female identity: The misrepresentation of Rosie in The Guide. Language, Technology, and Society, 5(1), 200–210.

Takana, A. I. E. (2022). Similarity of customs and traditions of morality across Africa as depicted by African writers. International Journal of Contemporary Applied Research, 7(1), 70–78.

Wardani, L. D. P., Saraswati, R. R., & Mustofa, M. (2024). Patriarchy depiction through the female main character's relationship in Marie Lu's The Kingdom of Back. Jurnal Bahasa, Sastra, dan Pengajaran, 5(3), 60–68.