

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283658544>

Comparative Antimicrobial Activity of Ethanol and Hexane Leaf Extracts of Ficus Exasperata on Five Microbial Isolates Comparative Antimicrobial Activity of Ethanol and Hexane Leaf...

Article · May 2015

CITATIONS

0

READS

179

11 authors, including:



Engwa Azeh Godwill

Godfrey Okoye University

38 PUBLICATIONS 39 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Marcellus Unaegbu

Godfrey Okoye University

13 PUBLICATIONS 37 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Marian Unachukwu

Godfrey Okoye University

11 PUBLICATIONS 0 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF MEDICAL RESEARCH: B
PHARMA, DRUG DISCOVERY, TOXICOLOGY AND MEDICINE
Volume 15 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2015
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4618 & Print ISSN: 0975-5888

Comparative Antimicrobial Activity of Ethanol and Hexane Leaf Extracts of *Ficus Exasperata* on Five Microbial Isolates

By Godwill Azeh Engwa, Richard N. Tagbo, Chinedu Chukwuekezie,
Marcellus Unaegbu & Marian N. Unachukwu
Godfrey Okoye University, Nigeria

Abstract- This study evaluated the antimicrobial activity of *Ficus exasperata* and compared the efficacy of ethanol and hexane extracts on five microbial isolates. To ascertain the set objective, after extraction with ethanol and hexane, the disk and well diffusion agar methods were employed to investigate the antimicrobial activity of the extracts and its minimum inhibitory and bactericidal concentrations. A phytochemical screening was done for the confirmation of the result and the data was statistically analysed.

Keywords: *ficus exasperata*, antimicrobial activity, ethanol, hexane, extract, phytochemicals, microbial isolates.

GJMR-B Classification : NLMC Code: QV 752



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



Comparative Antimicrobial Activity of Ethanol and Hexane Leaf Extracts of *Ficus Exasperata* on Five Microbial Isolates

Godwill Azeh Engwa^α, Richard N. Tagbo^ο, Chinedu Chukwuekezie^ρ, Marcellus Unaegbu^ω,
& Marian N. Unachukwu[¥]

Abstract- This study evaluated the antimicrobial activity of *Ficus exasperata* and compared the efficacy of ethanol and hexane extracts on five microbial isolates. To ascertain the set objective, after extraction with ethanol and hexane, the disk and well diffusion agar methods were employed to investigate the antimicrobial activity of the extracts and its minimum inhibitory and bactericidal concentrations. A phytochemical screening was done for the confirmation of the result and the data was statistically analysed. *Ficus exasperata* extracts inhibited the growth of all the five microbial isolates i.e *Salmonella typhi*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Candida albican*. The greatest antimicrobial activity was found with *Salmomella typhi* and the least with *Candida albican*. The 200mg/ml extract was the most effective in inhibiting microbial growth while the 25mg/ml was the least. The minimum inhibitory concentration and the minimum bactericidal concentrations of *Ficus exasperata* were 100 and 200mg/ml respectively. Ethanol extract was more effective than hexane for all the different concentrations in inhibiting microbial isolates which was significant ($p < 0.05$) for the 150, 100 and 25mg/ml concentrations. A phytochemical screening showed the presence of tannin, saponin, alkaloid, flavanoid and glycosides in the ethanol extract against tannin, alkaloid and flavanoid for hexane extract thus confirming the effectiveness of ethanol use in extraction. *Ficus exasperata* possesses a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activities and thus is a potential candidate for prospective antimicrobial treatment with activities that will be at its best if ethanol is used in extraction to recover a wide range of phytochemicals.

Keywords: *ficus exasperata*, antimicrobial activity, ethanol, hexane, extract, phytochemicals, microbial isolates.

I. INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases are the number one cause of death due to illnesses across the world and account for approximately one-half of all deaths in tropical countries. According to World Health Organisation (WHO) report, about 15 million (>25%) of 57 million annual deaths worldwide are the direct result of infectious diseases [1]. Of these infectious diseases,

microorganisms are the commonest organisms responsible for morbidity and mortality [2, 3]. As such, bacterial and fungal diseases continue to remain a major public health problem [4].

Efforts in the management of bacterial and fungal infections had been very effective for long with the use of antibiotics till the emergence of antimicrobial drug resistance in the past two decades [5, 6]. Since then, the use of conventional drugs have been challenging in the treatment and management of these diseases and the quest for alternative solutions have been a major global concern to WHO and other public health institutions and organizations.

In recent time, there is so much concern on the use of plants and their constituents for treatment as have extensively been used in folk medicine for the treatment of many ailments [7, 8]. So many plants have been shown to have medicinal properties against microbial and fungal infections [9, 10]. One of such plant is *Ficus exasperata*, locally known as “sand paper plant” and “Ewe ipin” in the Yoruba language of Western Nigeria. Different parts of the plant are locally used for treating various infectious diseases such as eye-sores, ring worm, stomach pains and leprosy etc. [11-13]. The leaf extract of *Ficus exasperata* has been reported for the treatment of various diseases including coughs, intestinal pains, colics, bleeding, ulcer, wounds, bacterial, fungal infections etc. [13-20]. Various pharmacological actions such as anti-hypertensive, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-ulcer, anti-lipidic, anti-bacterial and anti-fungal activities have been described for *Ficus Exasperata* [16-22].

These pharmacological activities are attributed to the presence of certain bioactive components in the plant [23] which have been identified and characterized and are now the basis for new therapies. Synergism is reported to be the most probably mechanism of action responsible for the overall pharmacological activity of medicinal plants [24, 25]. Synergic effect depends on the photochemical load; that is, the number of various types of phytochemicals extracted which is determined by the extraction method employed. Polar solvent have shown to recover more bioactive components from plants than non_polar solvents hence, a greater

Author α σ ρ ω : Biochemistry, Department of Chemical Sciences, Faculty of Natural and Applied Sciences, Godfrey Okoye University, P.M.B 01014 Thinkers Corner, Enugu Nigeria.
e-mail: engwagodwill@gmail.com

Author ¥ : Microbiology, Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Natural and Applied Sciences, Godfrey Okoye University, P.M.B 01014 Thinkers Corner, Enugu Nigeria.

phytochemical load [26, 27]. In this study, we compared the effect of ethanol (polar) and hexane (non-polar) extracts of *Ficus exasperata* on five microbial isolates. The crude extracts were further subjected to phytochemical screening to evaluate the phytochemical load.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

a) Plant material

Fresh leaves of *Ficus exasperata* were collected from Enuguagu, Achi, Orji-river local Government, Enugu and transported to the Chemistry Laboratory of Godfrey Okoye University, Enugu State of Nigeria.

b) Preparation of ethanol and hexane extracts

The leaves of *Ficus exasperata* were air dried for two weeks and ground to fine powder with a Binatone blender (Model BLG-401). Extraction was done as described by Adebayo and Ishola using a soxhlet extractor [28]. 70 g of each portion of the leaf powder were dissolved in Hexane and Ethanol and the mixture was transferred in the extractor separately. Hexane extraction was done at 69°C adding 0.080g of anti-bulbing chips to speed up the boiling point while ethanol extraction was done at 50°C with 0.070g of anti-bulbing chips.

c) Specimen collection and culture

Clinical isolates of *Salmonella typhi*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumonia* and *Candida albicans* were obtained from the Microbiology Laboratory of Godfrey Okoye University. The isolates were tested for viability by resuscitating them in buffered peptone water and only the viable isolates were sub-cultured. Plates containing 15ml of sterile nutrient agar (Oxoid, England) after autoclaving each were inoculated with the viable isolates by aseptic streaking and cultured at 37°C for 24 hours.

d) Evaluation of antimicrobial activity

In vitro antimicrobial activity was evaluated by the agar well and disc diffusion methods.

i. Agar well Diffusion method

Agar well diffusion technique as described by Adeniyi et al. was adopted for the study [29]. Using sterile pasteur pipette, 5mm diameter wells were created at the centre of each plate and 1ml of the various concentrations of the plant extracts were dispensed into each well. The extracts were allowed to diffuse into the medium for 1hour pre-diffusion at room temperature after which the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and the zones of growth inhibition measured in millimetre (mm).

ii. Disc diffusion method

In this method, 0.5mm sterile filter paper disc was soaked in extract solution for 2 hours then placed on the surface of the agar plate. The plates were kept at

room temperature for 2 hours pre-diffusion at room temperature and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

Also, a standard antibiotics, chloramphenicol of 250mg was dissolved in 2.5ml of distilled water to obtain a concentration of 100mg/ml. A twofold serial dilution was done trice to obtain the following concentrations; 50, 25, and 12.5 mg/ml. These four concentrations of chloramphenicol which served as positive control were impregnated on filter papers disc and placed alongside the ethanol plant extract (100mg/ml) filter paper disc on the surface of the agar plate. The plates were kept at room temperature for 2 hours pre-diffusion at room temperature and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

e) Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

The minimum inhibition concentration was determined using the dilution method as described by Robbers et al. [30] which made use of a twofold dilution assay. The extract was diluted with distilled water trice at a ratio of 1:2 to obtain concentrations of 200, 100, 50, and 25ug/ml. Nutrient agar broth was prepared according to manufacturer's instruction and dispensed into separate test tubes. 1ml each of the four extract dilutions was added in order of decreasing concentrations to the broth and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

f) Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)

The minimum bacterial concentration was determined as described by Robbers et al. [30]. The broths from the MIC assay were streaked on a solid nutrient agar plate and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Various dilutions of the plant extracts were impregnated on sterile filter papers and placed on the surface of the solid dry agar plate. After pre-diffusion of the plate at room temperature for 2 hours, they were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

g) Phytochemical screening

Phytochemical analysis of both the hexane and ethanol extract was carried out for tannins, glycosides, saponin, flavonoids, alkaloids and steroids using standard methods of Sofowora, Trease and Evans, and Harbon [31, 32, 33].

III. DATA ANALYSIS

The zone of inhibition was considered as the distance of the clear zones that showed no growth on the surface of agar plate after culture and measured in millimetres (mm) using a ruler. The lowest concentration of extract which showed no turbidity in the broth culture was recorded as the MIC and the concentration that exhibited no bacterial growth after culture was considered as the MBC value.

The data was expressed as Mean \pm SEM. The differences between the groups was compared using the analysis of variance method (ANOVA) followed by

the Post-Hoc multiple comparison within group test. Differences were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Extraction is a key step for the recovery and isolation of bioactive phytochemicals from plant materials. The pharmacological activities of plants greatly depend on the extraction method being employed [34]. Solvent extraction has widely been used

to recover and isolate bioactive molecules as well as in the evaluation of their in vitro activity [35, 36]. In this study, ethanol and hexane were used in the extraction to investigate the activity of *Ficus exasperata* against microbial isolates. The percentage yield after extraction was higher in ethanol compared to hexane. Ethanol extraction recovered 10.2g of extract with a percentage yield of 14.6% compared to 7.5g of hexane extract with a yield of 10.6% (Table 1).

Table 1 : Percentage yield for ethanol and hexane extracts of *Ficus exasperata*

Extraction Method	Initial weight of plant (g)	Weight of plant extract (g)	Percentage Yield (%)
Ethanol	70	10.2	14.6
Hexane	70	7.5	10.6

After extraction, the activity of *Ficus exasperata* was evaluated on five microbial isolates; *Salmonella typhi*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Candida albican*. Antimicrobial screening using the disk and well diffusion methods showed *Ficus exasperata* extracts (ethanol and hexane) to possess antibacterial and antifungal activities as were able to inhibit the growth of all the microbial isolates.

In the disk diffusion method, the zone of inhibition (mm) ranged from 2.5 to 18.5 and the highest inhibition was recorded for the ethanol extract while the lowest was for the hexane extract. Inhibition was concentration dependent that is; the 200mg/ml extract

concentration showed the highest inhibition while the 25mg/ml showed the least microbial growth inhibition. Comparing the inhibition profile for the various concentrations and microbial isolates, ethanol extract showed a greater inhibition than hexane extract and was significantly different ($p < 0.05$) for the 150 and 25mg/ml concentrations. The inhibition pattern varied in respect to the concentration for all the microbial isolates with the greatest inhibition recorded on *Salmonella typhi* followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Escherichia coli* in decreasing order while *Candida albican* showed the least inhibition (Table 2).

Table 2 : Antimicrobial profile for ethanol and hexane extracts of *Ficus exasperata* by the disc diffusion method

Concentration of Extract (mg/ml)	Extraction method	Zone of Inhibition (mm)					Mean±SEM	p-value
		ST	SA	EC	KP	CA		
200	EE	18.5	12.2	18.3	11.5	10.3	14.16±1.76	0.377
	HE	15.0	10.2	10.3	16.1	8.2	11.96±1.52	
150	EE	14.5	10.0	8.3	12.4	9.2	10.88±1.13	0.047
	HE	12.2	10.3	6.2	10.0	4.5	08.02±0.55	
100	EE	10.0	8.0	7.0	8.1	7.0	06.60±1.12	0.089
	HE	10.0	8.1	4.5	6.4	4.0	08.64±1.42	
50	EE	6.0	5.5	5.2	5.5	5.0	05.44±0.17	0.060
	HE	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	05.00±0.00	
25	EE	4.0	3.8	3.7	3.8	2.8	03.62±0.21	0.031
	HE	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	03.10±0.25	

Legend: ST: *Salmonella typhi*, SA: *Staphylococcus aureus*, EC: *Escherichia coli*, KP: *Klebsiella pneumoniae* CA: *Candida albican*, EE: Ethanol Extract, HE: Hexane Extract

The well diffusion method also showed antimicrobial activity on all the microbial isolates but not as effective as the disk diffusion method. The zone of inhibition ranged from 2.0 to 15.5mm and the highest inhibition was recorded for the ethanol extract while the lowest was observed for the hexane extract. Similarly, the inhibition was concentration dependent as the highest extract concentration (200mg/ml) showed the greatest inhibition that reduced with decreasing concentration. Comparatively, ethanol extract showed a greater antimicrobial activity than hexane having a greater zone of inhibition for all the different concentrations and

isolates and the difference was significant for the 100 and 25mg/ml concentrations ($p < 0.05$). Also, the microbial inhibition profile was similar to that of the disk diffusion method with *Salmonella typhi* having the highest inhibition followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Candida albican* in decreasing order (Table 3).

Table 3 : Antimicrobial profile for ethanol and hexane extracts of *Ficus exasperata* by the well diffusion method

Concentration of Extract (mg/m)	Extraction method	Zone of Inhibition (mm)					Mean±SEM	p-value
		ST	SA	EC	KP	CA		
200	EE	15.3	10.5	7.5	12.2	9.0	10.90±1.35	0.250
	HE	12.1	9.2	8.2	13.1	6.1		
150	EE	10.2	8.3	7.5	8.0	7.5	08.30±0.49	0.111
	HE	10.1	8.2	5.3	7.2	4.1		
100	EE	8.4	6.4	5.0	6.2	5.2	06.24±0.21	0.010
	HE	8.0	5.4	3.8	4.3	3.5		
50	EE	3.9	3.5	3.1	3.0	3.1	03.32±0.17	0.389
	HE	4.2	3.1	3.5	3.8	3.0		
25	EE	3.5	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.0	02.86±0.24	0.021
	HE	3.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.0		

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) is the least concentration of a plant extract that shows no growth of microbial isolates in broth. In this study, the MIC was the 100mg/ml concentration and was observed for both the ethanol and hexane extracts. The ethanol concentration inhibited the growth for all the isolates except *Candida albican* while growth was observed for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Candida albican* with the hexane extract (Table 4). On the other hand, the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) which is the

least concentration that will completely kill a particular microorganism was the 200mg/dl concentration. This concentration; both for ethanol and hexane extracts was effective in killing microbial isolates. For the ethanol extract, this concentration was sensitive in killing *Salmonella typhi*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Escherichia coli* while only *Salmonella typhi* and *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were killed by the hexane extract concentration (Table 5).

Table 4 : Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) for ethanol and hexane extracts of *Ficus exasperata*

Concentration of Extract (mg/ml)	Extraction method	Microbial growth profile				
		ST	SA	EC	KP	CA
200	EE	-	-	-	-	-
	HE	-	-	-	-	-
100	EE	-	-	-	-	+
	HE	-	-	-	+	+
50	EE	+	+	+	+	+
	HE	+	+	+	+	+
25	EE	+	+	+	+	+
	HE	+	+	+	+	+

Legend: + Growth; -No growth

Table 5 : Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) for ethanol and hexane extracts of *Ficus exasperata*

Concentration of Extract (mg/ml)	Extraction method	Microbial growth profile				
		ST	SA	EC	KP	CA
200	EE	-	-	-	+	+
	HE	-	-	+	+	+
100	EE	+	+	+	+	+
	HE	+	+	+	+	+
50	EE	+	+	+	+	+
	HE	+	+	+	+	+
25	EE	+	+	+	+	+
	HE	+	+	+	+	+

Ficus exasperata extract was effective against all the different microbial isolates. When the activity of the plant extract was compared to various concentrations of the standard drug chloramphenicol, it was shown to be similar to that of the 100mg/ml

ethanol plant extract concentration (Table 6). Previous studies have shown *Ficus exasperata* to possess antimicrobial activities against several microbial species [37-39, 28]. However, the extracts had the least activity on *Candida albican* suggesting that *ficus exasperata* is

more effective on bacterial species especially gram negative bacteria such as *Salmonella typhi* and *Escherichia coli* which showed the highest inhibition pattern as well as the gram positive species than fungal species. This result confirms the local use of *Ficus exasperata* for medicinal purposes in treating infectious diseases caused by gram negative bacteria such as

gastro intestinal infections, diarrhoea, typhoid etc [10-12]. The ability of the extracts to inhibit the growth of several bacterial and fungal species is an indication of the broad spectrum antimicrobial potential of *Ficus exasperata* which makes it a potential candidate for a prospective antimicrobial drug.


Table 6 : Antimicrobial profile for *Ficus exasperata* plant extract compared to standard drug

Chloramphenicol (mg/ml)	100	50	25	12.5	Ethanol plant extract (100mg/ml)
Zone of Inhibition (mm)	14.60	7.01	3.55	1.78	12

In all, ethanol extract of *Ficus exasperata* showed an overall better inhibition pattern against microbial isolates than hexane though both were effective in inhibiting microbial growth (Figure 1). These results confirm the findings of previous studies which have also shown ethanol extract to possess the strongest antimicrobial activity and most effective in in vitro studies compared to other solvents used for extraction [40]. The reason for a greater activity of ethanol over the hexane extract could be attributed to the polarity of the solvent which has earlier been reported to be responsible for the extraction of a wide range of

phytochemicals that potentiates the pharmacological activity of plant extracts [40-42]. The polarity of ethanol gives it the ability to penetrate cell membrane to extract intracellular ingredients from plant and also, since most phytochemicals are mostly aromatic or saturated compounds which are uncharge, they can easily be extracted by charge or polar solvents [43]. As such, ethanol; a polar solvent will yield more phytochemicals which in synergy will generate a greater pharmacological activity than hexane which is non polar. Thus, the greater the phytochemical load, the greater the activity of a plant extract.

Figure 1 : Comparison of the total Inhibition profile for ethanol and hexane extracts of *Ficus exasperate*



To ascertain the above hypothesis, a qualitative phytochemical screening was done to evaluate the

phytochemical load for both solvents used in extraction. The result confirmed ethanol extraction to be a better



and more effective solvent compared to hexane as it recovered a greater number (load) of phytochemicals. Out of the seven phytochemicals screened, five; tannin,

saponin, alkaloid, flavanoid and glycosides were identified in the ethanol extract against three; tannin, alkaloid and flavanoid for hexane extract (Table 7).

Table 7 : Phytochemical profile for ethanol and hexane extracts of *Ficus exasperata*

Screened Phytochemicals	Tannins	Saponins	Alkaloids	Flavonoids	Steroids	Glycosides	Anthraquinones
Ethanol Extract	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
Hexane extract	+		+	+			

Phytochemicals are plant molecules that are not directly involve in plant's growth but for other secondary activities such as protection against pest, pigmentation, abiotic stress etc. [44]. These chemicals have been reported in several studies to be responsible for the healing potentials of medicinal plants [45]. In this study, a wide range of phytochemicals; tannin, saponin, alkaloid, flavanoid, glycosides were recovered which have been report for antimicrobial activities through different mechanisms of action [45]. Hence, the collaborative or synergic action of these phytochemicals is responsible for the antimicrobial activity of *Ficus exasperata*.

V. CONCLUSION

Ficus exasperata possess a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activities and thus, a potential candidate for a prospective antimicrobial treatment whose activity will be at its best if ethanol is used for extraction to recover a wide range of phytochemicals. Due to its broad spectrum of activity, the local use of *Ficus exasperata* for various medicinal purposes is therefore encouraged.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We wish to acknowledge the management of Godfrey Okoye University Nigeria for providing materials, reagents, equipment and laboratories for analysis. Special thanks go to the Vice Chancellor, Rev. Fr. Prof. Christian Anieke for financial assistance and moral support and to the staff and final year students of Chemical and Biological Sciences Departments for their technical assistance in carrying out this project.

VII. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest in conducting this research.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Pinner, RS., Teutsch L., Simonsen, L., Klug, J., Graber, M., and Berkelman, R. 1996. Trends in infectious diseases mortality in the United States. *J Am Med Assoc* 275:189-193
2. Murry, CJ., Lopez, AD. 1997. Mortality by cause for eight regions of the world: global burden of disease study. *Lancet* 349:1269-1276.
3. Shira, S. 2008. An introduction to infectious diseases, 24 January 2008.
4. Kenrad, EN. 2014. *Epidermiology of Infectious disease: General principles*, Jones and Bartlett publishers. Online Accession 08/10/2014.
5. El-Mahmood, AM., Doughari, JH., Ladan, N. 2008. Antimicrobial screening of stem bark extracts of *Vitellaria paradoxa* against some enteric pathogenic micro-organisms. *Afr J Pharm Pharmacol* 2 (5): 89-94
6. WHO. 2013. Antimicrobial drug resistance. World Health Organisation secretariat.
7. Sanjoy, KP., 2003. Yogeshwer S. *Herbal Medicine: Current Status and the Future*. Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev 4: 281-288
8. Rivera, JO., Loya, AM., Ceballos, R. 2013. Use of Herbal Medicines and Implications for Conventional Drug Therapy Medical Sciences. *Alternative and Integrative Medicine, Altern Integ Med* 2:6
9. Mshana, NR., Abbiw, DK., Addae-Mensah, I., Adjanohoun, E., Ahyi MRA, et al. 2014. *Traditional Medicine and Pharmacopoeia: Contribution to the Revision ethanobotanical and floristic studies in Ghana*. 1st edition, Organization of African Unity/Scientific, Technical and Research Commission, Accra, Ghana, ISBN: 978-2453-66-2. Accessed online 2014.
10. Akah, PA., Orisakwe, OE., Gamaniel, KS., Shittu A. 1998. Evaluation of Nigerian traditional medicines: II. Effects of some folk remedies on peptic ulcer. *J Ethanopharm* 62: 123-127
11. Bouquet, AJ., 1969. *Natural products as an alternative remedy*. 24th Ed. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, London, UK.
12. Abbiw, T. 1990. Study of Tropical shrubs and plant. *J. Biogeorge*; 23: 591-602.
13. Burkill, HM. 1997. *The useful plants of tropical West Africa*. 43rd Ed. Royal Botanic Gardens Kew, London, UK,
14. Woode, E., Poku, RA., Abotsi, WKM. 2011. Anticonvulsant effects of a leaf extract of *Ficus exasperata* Vahl (Moraceae) in Mice. *Int J Pharm* 7(3): 405-409.
15. Abotsi, WMK., Woode, E., Ainooson, A., Amo-Baromah, A., Boakye-Gyasi, E. 2010. Antiathritic and antioxidant effects of the leaf extract of *Ficus*

- exasperata P. Beauv (Moraceae). Pharmacognosy Res 2: 89-97.
16. Ayinde, BA., Mogbai, EK., Amaechina, FC. 2007. Pharmacognosy and hypotensive evaluation of *Ficus exasperata* Vahl (Moraceae) leaf. Acta Pol Pharm 64: 543-546.
 17. Castel-Branco, MM., Alves, GL., Figueiredo, IV., Falcao, AC., Caramona, MM. 2009. The maximal electroshock seizure (MES) model in the preclinical assessment of potential new antiepileptic drugs. Methods, Find. Exp Clin Pharm 31: 101-116.
 18. Ijeh, II., Ukwani, AI. 2007. Acute effect of administration of ethanol extracts of *Ficus exasperata* Vahl (Moraceae) on kidney function in Albino rats. J Med Plant Res 1: 27-29.
 19. Woode, E., Poku, RA., Ainooson, GK., Boakye-Gyasi, E., Abotsi, WKM., Mensah, TL., Amo-Baromah AK. 2009. An evaluation of the anti-inflammatory, anti-pyretic, and antinociceptive effects of *Ficus exasperata* Vahl leaf extract. J Pharm Toxicol 4: 138-151.
 20. Odunbaku, OA., Ilusanya, OA., Akasoro, KS. 2008. Antibacterial activity of ethanolic leaf extract of *Ficus exasperata* on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus albus*. Scientific Res Essay 3 (11): 562-564.
 21. Buniyamin, AA., Eric, KIQ., Fabian, CA. 2007. Pharmacognosy and Hypotensive evaluation of *Ficus exasperata* Vahl (moraceae) leave. Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica-Drug Research 64(6) : 543-546
 22. Sonibare, MO., Isiaka, AO., Taruka, MW., Williams, NS., Soladoye, M., Emmanuel, O. 2006. Constituents of *Ficus exasperata* leaves. Natural product communications 23-26.
 23. Williamson, EM. 2001. Synergy and other interactions in phytomedicines. Phytomed 8: 401-409.
 24. Berenbaum, MC. 1989. What is synergy? Pharmacol. Rev.; 41: 93-141.
 25. Engwa, AG., Nnamdi, P., Nnadi, JC., Offor, TI., Eze, BC. 2013. Comparative Qualitative Analysis of the Phytochemical Load of Water, Methanol, Ethyl Acetate and Hexane Extracts of Six Selected Medicinal Plants. Int J Pharmacog Phytochem Res 5(3); 164-167
 26. Lapornik, B., Prosek, M., Wondra, AG. 2005. Comparison of extracts prepared from plant by products using different solvents and extraction time. Journal of Food Engineering; 71: 214-222.
 27. Adebayo, E. and Ishiola. 2009. Evaluations of the methanolic extract of *Ficus exasperata* stem, bark, leaf and root for phytochemical analysis and antimicrobial activities. Afr. J. Plant Sci 3 (12): 283-287
 28. Adeniyi, BA., Odelola, HA., Oso, BA. 1996. Antimicrobial potential of *Diospyros mesiliforus* (Ebenaceae) Afr. J. Med. Sci 255: 221-224.
 29. Robbers, J., Speedie, M., Tyler, V. 1996. Pharmacognosy and Pharmacobiotechnology. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore 1-14
 30. Sofawa, AE. 1993. Medicinal And traditional medicine in Africa. Vol. 2 Spectrum Books Ltd. 142-146.
 31. Trease, GE. and Evans, WC. 1989. Pharmacognosy (11th edition). Braille TRida Canada Macmillan Publishers, Canada 257.
 32. Harbone JB (ed.). 1973. Methods of plants analysis. Phytochemical Methods Chapman and Hall London
 33. Mbakwem-Aniebo, C., Onianwa, O., Okonko, IO. 2012. Effects of *Ficus Exasperata* Vahl on Common Dermatophytes and Causative Agent of Pityriasis Versicolor in Rivers State, Nigeria. Am J Dermatol and Venereol 1(1): 1-5
 34. Ncube, NS., Afolayan, AJ., Okoh, AI. 2008. Assessment techniques of antimicrobial properties of natural compounds of plant origin: current methods and future trends. Afr J Biotech 7 (12): 1797-1806.
 35. Das, K., Tiwari, RKS., Shrivastava, DK. 2010. Techniques for evaluation of medicinal plant products as antimicrobial agent: Current methods and future trends. J Med Plants Res 4(2): 104-111.
 36. Chinedu, FA., Uyai, U., Emezie, AU., Utoh-Nedosa, AU. 2012. Anti-diarrhoeal, antispasmodic and phytochemical properties of ethanol extract of the leaves of *Ficus exasperata*. Asian J. Res. Pharm. Sci 2 (1): 26-32
 37. Lawal, IO., Borokini, TI., Oyeleye, A., Williams, OA., Olayemi, JO. 2012. Evaluation of Extract of *Ficus Exasperata* Vahl Root Bark for Antimicrobial Activities Against Some Strains of Clinical Isolates of Bacteria and Fungi. Int J Modern Botany; 2(1): 6-12
 38. Igbinosa1, OO., Igbinosa, EO. and Aiyegoro, OA. 2009. Antimicrobial activity and phytochemical screening of stem bark extracts from *Jatropha curcas* (Linn). Afr J Pharm and Pharmacol 3(2): 058-062.
 39. Bimakr, M. 2010. Comparison of different extraction methods for the extraction of major bioactive flavonoid compounds from spearmint (*Mentha spicata* L.) leaves. Food Bioprod Process 1-6.
 40. Eloff, JN. 1998. Which extractant should be used for the screening and isolation of antimicrobial components from plants. J Ethnopharm 60: 1-8.
 41. Handa, SS., Khanuja, SPS., Longo, G., Rakesh, DD. 2008. Extraction Technologies for Medicinal and Aromatic Plants. International centre for science and high technology. Trieste; 21-25.
 42. Wang, GX. 2010. In vivo anthelmintic activity of five alkaloids from *Macleaya microcarpa* (Maxim) Fedde

against *Dactylogyrus intermedius* in *Carassius auratus*. *Veterinary Parasitol* 171: 305–313.

43. Cowan, MM. 1999. Plant products as antimicrobial agents. *Clin microbiol reviews* 12(4): 564-582.
44. Prashant, Ti., Bimlesh, K., Mandeep, K., Gurpreet, K., Harleen, K. 2011. Phytochemical screening and Extraction: A Review. *Int Pharm Sci* 1 (1):98-106

