

## QUALITY ASSURANCE IN TEACHING AND LEARNING IN TERTIARY INSTITUTIONS IN NIGERIA

By

**Dr. O.J. Okeke**

**Enugu State College of Education(Technical), Enugu**

### **Abstract**

This study x-rayed the type of quality assurance in teaching and learning expected in tertiary institutions. The study was organized under the following headings, the abstract, introduction, conceptual framework and empirical studies.

### **Introduction**

Quality assurance in education is a common target for all education system especially in developing countries in the whole World. Many countries are progressing towards the goals of education for all, but struggle to achieve quality education for all. The quality of education is the prime factor that determines the worth and or significance of the system to both the recipients (learners) and the society at large. Quality assurance in Nigeria education system is an agenda that gives educators serious concern about the system. Recently the quality of tertiary institutions graduates in Nigeria is nothing to ride home about in the public (Ajayi, and Adegbesan, 2007). This is an indication that the system is gradually losing its glory and relevance in the society.

### **Conceptual Framework**

Quality assurance in education defines quality that makes a being or thing such as it is, a distinguishing elements or characteristics; the characteristics of anything regarded as determining its value, place, relevance, worth, rank, position etc; or conditions of a thing so determined. Quality implies degree of excellence and relative goodness. Garry (2009) cited in World Bank (2011) noted that quality is the standard of something when it is compared with other things of its kind; i.e. how good or bad a product is. NAAC (2010) further stressed that quality is indisputable the number one requirement for any product or service. It is being rightly said that when you are out of quality, you are out of business. Superiority in quality however, is never an accident and achieving it takes a concerted effort of creative, competent and dedicated professionals in both the development and testing arenas. Thus, quality defines the total of the features of a process, product or service or its performance, in "customer" or "clients" perception of that performance. Adegbesan (2011) noted that quality is not just a feature of a finished product or service but involves a focus on internal processes and output and includes the reduction of wastes and the improvement of productivity. On the other hand, assurance implies a positive or encouraging declaration, full confidence, undoubting conviction, self confidence, firmness of mind and certainty. It is a statement that something will certainly be true or will certainly happen, particularly when there have been doubts about it (NUC 2004). Concept of Quality Assurance: The purpose of quality assurance is capacity building within an institution for pursuing quality improvement leading to stakeholder's satisfaction. According to Okeke, (2013) Quality assurance is a continuous and conscious process aiming at excellence. This can be ensured through quality assessment that the institution is doing what it claims to have been doing (Mungle, 2013). It is pertinent that an institution evolves internal processes for self analysis and assessment enabling self monitoring for quality improvement. Such processes help keep the institution alert to the emerging individual and collective needs and demands among its members (NAAC,2010). It also provides incentives for trying out new things. These involve collective thinking and shared actions. All these bring a positive ethos characterized by academic concern, technical rigor, professional development and critical appraisal of aspects, all of which reflect as the concern for quality in the institution. In brief, they help develop a quality culture in the institution (Mungle, 2013).

Again, quality assurance may be seen as any action taken to prevent quality substandard from occurring. Quality assurance aims to ensure that product or service of an organization meets the already established



standard and as well fit for the purpose for which such product is meant to serve. It is the process of monitoring and evaluation of an organization processes or activities involved in production in order to maximize the probability that minimum standard set ab initio is being attained and that such products are fit to serve its purpose. Adegbesan (2012) notes that quality assurance refers to the planned and systematic activities implemented in quality system so that quality requirement for a product or service will be fulfilled. It is the systematic measurement, comparison with a standard, monitoring of process and an associated feed-back loop that confers error prevention (Adebayo & Adesoji 2009). Furthermore, Arikewuyo (2004) while citing the National Board for technical education optimized that quality assurance refers to a programme for a systematic monitoring and evaluation of the various aspects of a project, service or facility to ensure that standard of quality are being met. It analyses the quality of such services or products to ensure its conformity to specific requirements and compliance with established plans. Quality Assurance in Education system therefore, is an umbrella concept for a lot of activities that are designed to improve the quality of input, process and output of the educational system (Fagbamiye, 1987). Quality assurance in the education system involves the process of monitoring, assessing and evaluating all aspects of the education activities and communicating the outcome to all concerned with a view of improving the products of the education system. Quality assurance in education addresses some issues which enhance the quality of education delivery. Similarly, Emeruoh (2012) noted the quality assurance in education as all proactive measures adopted by a country to ensure that the system standard remain high enough to produce results set for it. Thus, quality standard in education is the bench mark that should guide the performance of the education system. Quality assurance in education is in fact a process of monitoring standard of output of the education system through inspection. It is in fact a process of continuous improvement in the quality of teaching and learning activities.

The Federal Ministry of Education (FME, 2009) remarked that quality standard in the education system are goals or targets to which learners, teachers, staff and school administration aspires to attain. Quality assurance in the education system therefore, is a multi-dimensional concept involving the various functions and activities of the education system. Such functions and activities include teaching, research, staffing, students, buildings, facilities and equipment, service to the community and academic environment. It is ensuring that at least the provision of the minimum academic standard are attained, and sustained. The challenge of standard is perhaps the frequently cited for affecting the education system. These include: standard of resources input, structures and facilities, standards of educational management, curriculum content and delivery as well as adequate funding. In this regard, Ofojebe and Ezeugo (2010) opined that quality assurance in education entails the quality of teaching personnel, quality of available instructional/teaching materials, equipment and facilities, school environment and pupils, and quality education delivery. It embraces all functions and activities that will ensure that quality of the academic (Teaching, curriculum) etc and structures (buildings, infrastructures) etc will allow an objective review of the quality of programme/instructional delivery. It is the determination of standards, appropriate methods and quality requirements by an expert body, accompanied by a process of inspection or evaluation that examines the extent to which practice meets these standards. Thus, Adegbesan (2011) suggested that quality assurance in the education system is often judged by both its ability to enable the students perform well on standard examinations and relevance to the needs of the students, community and the society as a whole. Quality serves as determination of gradation. Based on standard of excellence beneath which a mark of inferiority is imposed or adduced and above which grades of superiority are defined. Quality assurance in the education system thus focuses on maximizing the effectiveness and efficiency of educational system and services in relation to the contexts, of their missions and their objectives (Adegbesan 2011). Lastly, Ehindero (2004) suggested that quality of assurance in education starts with the following.

(1) **Learner's entry behavior.** That is the learner's characteristics, attributes, suitability including other factors that can inhibit or facilitate learning.



(2) **The teacher entry qualification:** That is the teachers' competence values, pedagogic skills morals, professional preparedness including subject background and philosophical orientation.

(3) **Administration:** That is the preparedness of the management, and her commitment to the provisions of enabling environment of operations to pursue and secure the desired objectives.

(4) **The outcomes:** That is, in terms of knowledge, skills and attitudes including appropriate and relevant instrument to assess these objectives. The existence, use and interactions of these variables together with quality control measures and activities to ensure that appropriate or minimum academic standards are being maintained and enhanced in and by every school surely will guarantee quality assurance in education.

### **Quality Assurance and Nigerian System of Education**

The Federal Republic of Nigeria (2004) through the National Policy on Education (Revised edition) has adopted education as an instrument par excellence for affecting national development. Okeke (2013) noted that formal education in Nigeria today seem to be continually turning out good number of youths who are ill prepared to help in the development of the country. In fact, formal education in Nigeria today appears to be a process of exempting the youths from manual labor, a process of preparing the youths for inexistence white collar jobs, a process of preparing people who despise what they can produce and with a ravishing taste for goods made overseas, cheat well, siphon public treasury and cheer the looters. In fact, in our society today, it is becoming increasingly foolish especially among the educated to be honest. These are the off-shot of sub-quality or sub-standard education system.

Similarly, Mungle (2013) cited noted that quantitatively, the Nigeria education scene is quite impressive but qualitatively deficient. Almost everything connected with education in this country is in short supply. Quality teachers are in short supply, quality buildings, quality equipment, quality laboratories, good experimental farms and other resource input that can lead to quality education are inadequately provided. The government and other proprietors of the system are all aware, but unfortunately, in our country Nigeria politics is more important than education. Perhaps, we do not have students and pupils' in short supply, their number is rather explosive. With these experiences, it is not surprising that what the primary schools churn out are those ill equipped to seek and advance their studies in the secondary school, the secondary schools also can boast of offering secondary school leavers who are half baked and possessing no employability skills. Our tertiary institutions on the other hand also turn out great numbers of graduates in various disciplines majority of who are without employability skills in their various areas of specialization

### **The Role of ICT In Quality Assurance**

ICT supports and spurs learning at a distance which can be more learner-centered, self paced, and problem solving based than face-to-face teaching using traditional media. ICT creates social media through networking to create open space interactions between the instructors/lecturers and the students without necessary face-to-face classroom situations (Okeke,2013). Experiences show that many learning activities cannot be coordinated by virtual means only. Thus, classroom environment as conditioned and controlled by the teacher has much impact in shaping up the general conduct and behavior of the learners. Likewise, students, social groupings, face-to-ace interactions are crucial and important. Thus, they enable other forms of sensory perception to be stimulated apart from the ones used within the framework of electronic interaction (Okeke, 2013). ICT role in quality assurance may be difficult to determine however, ICT plays very significant supportive role in education delivery thus:

(1) **Increases learner motivation** and engagement to learn involves a process of stimulating learners' interest to engage in a learning task. There is no doubt that the use of ICTs will certainly trigger and sustains learners' motivation, engagement and interest in the learning of a given task. With ICT powered or supported instruction, learners become sensitized to engage in learning more meaningfully and with interest as the lesson tasks.

(2) **Acquisition of basic skills:** The challenge of technology today has virtually affected all facets of human endeavor and life. As technologies evolve, work skills change. Employees and job seekers alike are therefore challenged to re-skill themselves in order to adapt to the challenges of advances in technology. Basic and requisite job skills especially in the field of information and communication technology are best acquired through ICT enabled or supported learning. Thus, the acquisition of basic work skills is one of the major challenges of quality assurance in education.

(3) **ICT facilitates:** Okeke (2013) noted that e-learning may be viewed as a promising way for improving the quality of tertiary education. Those promises can be derived from different characteristics of ICTs including the increased flexibility of the learning experiences it can give to students; the enhanced access to information resources for more students; the potential to drive innovative and effective ways of learning and/or teaching.

(4) **ICT trains the teacher trainer:** Staff development, growth and career advancement is one of the indices or indicators of quality assurance in education. With the use of ICTs, teachers are opportune to be trained and retrained in the use of ICTs in the discharge of education important activities. Thus, the use of ICT in education today is a common agenda pursued by both developed and developing nations across the globe. This is in keeping with the development of knowledge economy as well as the new trend of internationalization of institutions of higher learning, both of which are clear indicators of quality in the education system.

(5) **Holding and up-dating of minimum academic standard:** The development, holding and up-dating of benchmark minimum academic standard is one of the primary functions of the monitoring bodies of tertiary institutions in Nigeria. The discharge of this function is supported by the use of ICTs. In doing this, the bodies can easily develop, hold, up-date and disseminate BMAS to institutions where they are needed for implementation. This singular responsibility is very vital in the pursuit of quality assurance in education. Thus, the role of ICT in holding, maintaining and up-dating the BMAS this cannot just be underestimated. Such is the same in the records holding role of ICT in keeping with quality assurance in education.

(6) Provision of virtual and physical library services

### **Quality control measures in Nigerian tertiary institutions**

In principle, Nigeria is desirous of being among leaders in an ICT driven world. Nigeria desire to advance towards technologically developed world where results are achieved faster and easier. These are pretty desires that are never reflected in the attitude of governance toward education in Nigeria. Education in Nigeria today lacks potency just because its quality has shrunk. In an attempt to restore the value, the quality assurance agenda is being pursued. Thus, quality assurance is a way of measuring, improving and maintaining the quality of any human activity that has value. In other thinker about quality assurance in education, there must be some yardstick measures for comparing performance against standard. In Nigeria tertiary institution therefore, there are some quality assurance measures that are used as indicators to ensure compliance. Such measures are used to check-mate all the activities of tertiary institutions. These quality assurance drivers

(1) **Carrying capacity:** This defines the total number or population of students that each tertiary institution can admit (or carry) to ensure quality education delivery. The carrying capacity therefore, is dependent on human material, and physical resources at the disposal of each institution.

(2) **Minimum academic standard:** This appears to be the canopy from where other measures are derived. The minimum academic standard clearly defines the profile of the institutions curriculum, human resources, structures, facilities and equipment required for effective operations of quality education delivery.

(3) **Impact assessment:** This is a specialized form of assessment for the various arms of tertiary institutions in Nigeria. The assessment aims at finding out if the core expectations of the establishment of a particular institution are being met or not. This assessment may be carried out by the specialized bodies



monitoring the various arms of tertiary institutions in Nigeria. These bodies include: The National Commission for Colleges of Education; (NCCE). This body monitors, supervises and over sees all activities of colleges of education in the country. The National Board for Technical Education (NBTE). This body monitors, supervises and assesses all activities of polytechnics and colleges of technology across the country, and the National Universities Commission (NUC). This is the body charged with the responsibility of overseeing all academic activities in Nigeria universities both public and private.

(4) **Research:** This identified with constant or regular search for development, growth, discoveries and innovations. For every tertiary institution to worth its value, it must be ready to stand the test of time in both innovations and discovering resulting from laboratory or field research studies. Such research studies must be evidenced by publications in reputable academic journals.

(5) **Availability of amenities:** Amenities here identifies with structures, infrastructures and utilities that are essential for qualitative education. Amenities like classroom structures, furniture, office accommodation, laboratory structures and equipment, including all needed accessories for education delivery and administration are all essential driving force for quality assurance.

(6) **Visitation:** This is a statutory requirement that empowers the “proprietor” or the “visitor” to visit tertiary institutions within their domain and ascertain their well-being. Such visitations may be scheduled or unscheduled. The school management may or may not be notified of such visits. But where the “proprietor” or “visitor” does visit, it behooves on the school management to furnish the visitor with all information or data as the proprietor or visitor may wish to have.

(7) **Accreditation:** This identified with the process by which programmes are evaluated against set minimum academic standard. The exercise is usually carried out by supervising bodies like NUC, NBTE and NCCE. Each of the overseeing bodies monitors institutions under their arm.

### **The role of Science Laboratories**

The science Laboratories includes biology, chemistry, mathematics, physics and integrated science (Okeke, 2015). This refers to the specific strategies or measures undertaken by monitoring bodies of tertiary institutions in Nigeria to meet the minimum standard of these Laboratories expectations (Okeke, 2015). It can also be viewed as the processes developed and adopted by monitoring tertiary institutions in Nigeria to ensure that quality delivery in the system are maintained and adhered to. Olagboye (2007) noted that quality is the arrangement made or the mechanism put in place to maintain the degree of excellence of a product or service. Applied to education, it means the mechanisms by which an education system ensures that the service it delivers or intends to deliver serves the purpose for which it is intended. It focuses on the means by which an education system ensures that the service it provides remains relevant and appropriate to the needs of the society. The issue of quality assurance in Nigeria education system is a common agenda for all tertiary institutions in the country (Ehinder, 2004). Quality control measures in the system are often viewed as hot test of credibility and value. Tertiary institutions in Nigeria usually take the exercise serious and with commitment whenever it calls. Usually the exercise is being carried out under the auspices of the regulatory bodies for the various arms of tertiary institutions in Nigeria, the quality assurance regulations is often referred to as Benchmark minimum academic standard while the quality assurance exercise or evaluation is most often referred to as accreditation. Thus, accreditation exercise for academic programmes/departments in tertiary institutions is aimed at evaluating programme/departmental curriculum offering. The accreditation exercise focuses on general and specific objects, its staff strength, quality of students, equipment and facilities including general administration and execution of the programme. The pertinent areas of interest assessment and evaluation include: (2) **Programme/curriculum offering:** During the accreditation of any programme, the curriculum offering of the programme is usually presented to the team of assessors. Areas of interest on the programme is in its general and specific objectives, vision and course offerings. These are assessed based on minimum academic standard developed and set by the respective bodies to regulate academic activities in the various arms of tertiary institutions in Nigeria.

- (1) **Staff During accreditation academic** and non-academic staff holdings for each department is usually checked to ensure that the staff strength is adequate. The adequacy or otherwise is usually based on minimum standard as spelt out by the monitoring body.
- (2) **Students Quality** The quality of Students is often assessed by going through their qualification to ensure that it conforms with the entry requirement for the respective programme. Students' enrolment is equally checked to ensure that a programme/department does not exceed her approved carrying capacity.
- (3) **Staff and Students ratio** this enables the monitoring body to match students' population in each programme against the available academic staff. Usually this is an important determinant measure in ensuring quality. For instance, staff-students ratio in most education related programmes in Nigerian universities is 1:30. On the other hand, it is expected that every academic department/programme should have at least the following combination of non-academic staff: one secretary (computer literate), one clerical officer, two office attendant/cleaners, two typists, one laboratory attendant and one technician including at least two technologists (NUC, 2007).
- (4) **Staff Mix by rank** Staff resources as well as staff-students ratio does not in any way solve the problem of staffing for academic programmes. Staff mix by rank identified with the percentage ratio of the various calibre or cadre of staff. Rank mixes and ratios in the programme are such that admits 20% in the professorial grade, 35% in the senior lecturer grade and 45% in the lecturer I grade and below.
- (5) **Programme** Every programme and or department must house basic texts, reference books, journals and other relevant textual and non-textual materials should be readily available in the library. (9) *Spaces*: This identifies with adequate classrooms, lecture theatres, laboratories, studios, staff offices, auditoria, workshop for technical related courses. All these should be provided to ensure proper execution and implementation of programmes. Every school or faculty as the case may be should have a computer laboratory, internet access and resource room. (9) *Equipment* This identifies with adequacy of accessories and useable for laboratories, workshops and studios. There should be relevant software materials and chemicals to be used along with the equipment. All teaching, learning and administrative useable should be constantly supplied. It is expected that each department and indeed all senior lecturers and above should be provided with computers which should be from time to time replenished with the latest software materials.
- (6) **Funding.** To ensure quality in education sector, adequate fund must be provided by government through the management of the institutions down to the various schools or faculties and departments.
- (7) **Staff Development** An important area of interest in evaluating the quality of education and, as well improve the value or worth of education delivery is the quality of academic staff. It is generally believed that no education system can grow beyond the quality of its resource persons. Therefore, staff development is an important aspect of the quality assurance strategy that monitoring bodies of tertiary institutions in Nigeria are interested in.

### **Empirical Studies**

Okereke, (2014) carried out a study on the Strategies for Ensuring Quality in the Business Education Programme of Tertiary Institutions in Anambra State. The survey method was employed. One research question guided the study while two null hypotheses were tested at 0.05 degree of significance. A structured questionnaire was used to gather data for the study. The study population comprised 71 business teachers in tertiary institutions in Anambra State. Data collected was analysed using mean statistics while the null hypotheses were analysed using ANOVA. Based on the findings of the study, it was concluded that the adoption of strategies such as quality of syllabus and provision of requisite infrastructure and facilities to the business education programme will ensure qualitative education. Thomas (2014) carried out a research on Perceptions of Lecturers on Quality Assurance in Higher Education Teaching and Learning Process. South Africa on their perspective on quality assurance in



teaching and learning. As the study was qualitative in nature, interview was used as the main instrument for data collection.

The results of the study showed that perception on quality assurance according to the respondents is influenced by several factors including lecturers' income levels, academic qualification and teaching experience, job satisfaction, career achievement, student learning needs, students' knowledge and experience. The significance of the study was the realization that the perception regarding concept of quality assurance is difficult to define and articulate as the quality of a product or service is concerned with the attributes and characteristics which suppliers and customers expect at the time of production and purchase, as well as during the life of the product or service. Okeke, (2015) carried out a study on the Strategies for ensuring Quality standard in Degree Chemistry Education Programme in Enugu state college of Technical (ESCET). The design of the study is survey method. One research question and two null hypotheses guided the study. The two null hypotheses were tested at 0.05 level of significance. A structured questionnaire was used to gather data for the study. The study population comprised all Chemistry students of year one to year three students of 2011/2012, 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 sessions and their lecturers numbering three hundred and sixty (360) persons. The sample and sampling technique are one hundred (100) students and random sampling technique. The instrument used is structured questionnaire. Data collected were analyzed using Mean ( $\bar{x}$ ) statistics while the null hypotheses were analyzed using t-test analysis. Results show that the mean ( $\bar{x}$ ) and standard deviation (SD) obtained are 112.34 and 34.09 while the t-test analysis obtained the  $t_{\text{cal}} = 51.06$  and hence  $t_{\text{critical}}$  was found as  $= 1.68$ . These indicate that the Hypotheses are significant. From the findings of the study, it was concluded that the adoption of strategies such as quality of syllabus and provision of requisite infrastructure and facilities like standard Chemistry laboratory and chemistry library for Degree chemistry Education did the magic. Provision of Chemistry library and quality lecturers in their correct ratios of degrees as stipulated by National university commission (NUC) and a good number of quality students ensured qualitative education that earned the chemistry full accreditation

#### REFERENCES

- Adebayo, O., Oyenike, A., & Adesoji, O. (2009). *Quality assurance and sustainable university education in Nigeria*.
- Adegbesan, S. O (2011). Establishing quality assurance in Nigerian education System: Implications for Educational managers. National Institute for Educational Planning and Administration (NIEPA) <http://www.academicjournal.org/ERR>
- Ajayi, I. A., & Akindutwe, I. O. (2007). The universal issues of quality assurance in Nigeria universities. *Journal of sociology and education in Africa*, 6(1), 168-183.
- Ajayi, T. & Adegbesan S.O. (2007). Quality Assurance in the Teaching Profession. Paper presented at a forum on emerging issues in teaching professionalism in Nigeria (14-16 March). National Institute for Educational Planning and Administration (NIEPA) Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria
- Arikewuyo, M. O. (2004). Effective funding and quality assurance in the Nigeria Education system. A paper presented at the first National Conference of the Institute of Education, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye, Jan 12- 15th.
- Balogun, B. B. (2012). *Economics of education in developing countries*. Lagos: Iyayi Publishers.
- Ehinderu, S. (2004). *Accountability and quality assurance in Nigerian education*. Paper presented at the International Conference of the Institute of Education, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye, and Jan 12th – 15th.
- Emeruoh, C. (2012). Extent of application of quality assurance in business studies in the junior secondary schools in Enugu education zone of Enugu State. Unpublished M. Ed dissertation, Department of Business Education, Faculty of Education Ebonyi State University.
- Fagbamiye, E.O. (ed) (1987). The Nigerian teacher in the 1980's. University of Lagos series in Education. *The art and Science of Education* (2) 101. Lagos: .

- Federal Republic of Nigeria (2004). *National policy on education (revised. ed)*. Lagos: Nigerian educational research and development centre.
- F.M.E (2009) *National policy on Education (revised.ed)*. Lagos Nigeria.
- Mungle, C. (2013). *Inspection, education and quality assurance*. Washington D.C U.S.A, Department of Education. Office of Educational Research and improvement.
- National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAA, 2010). *Quality assurance toolkit for teacher education in institutions (QATEI) Guidelines*. Bangalore. India.
- Nelson Publishers Limited. Federal Ministry of Education (2009). *Community participation in quality assurance in education below tertiary level concept planning and process*. Abuja: Federal Inspectorate Service.
- Ofojebe W. N. & Ezugoh, T.C. (2010). Teachers' motivation its influence on quality assurance in the Nigeria education system. *African Research Review: An international multi-disciplinary Journal, Ethiopia, 4(2)* pp.398-417
- Olagboye, A. A. (1997). *Educational system administration in Nigeria: structure, responsibilities and practice*. Lagos: Tison Press.
- Okeke O J (2015) A Paper titled Strategies for Ensuring Quality Standard in Degree chemistry education presented to Academic Forum (TAF) on 17<sup>th</sup> -19 Sept.2015 in ESCET In Affiliation to Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka, Anambra State
- Okereke, E. C. (2014) "Strategies for Ensuring Quality in the Business Education Programme of Tertiary Institutions in Anambra State" Department of Business Education, Madonna University Okija-Onitsha Anambra State *An International Multidisciplinary Journal, Ethiopia Vol. 8 (1), Serial No. 32, January, 2014:321-336* ISSN 1994-9057.
- World Bank (2011).Development Report 2009/2010. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- National Universities Commission. (2004). *the role of NUC in quality assurance in Nigeria Universities. Nigeria Universities System 12(1):2*. National Universities Commission.(NUC, 2007). *Benchmark minimum academic standards for undergraduate Programme in Nigeria universities (Education)*