Acting Ethically: The Perspective of the Firm

T. F. I. Nwanne

Ethics is a discipline which seeks to determine the way in which we should behave in order to lead a fulfilling life. Based on this definition, people tend to think of ethics only in relation to individual actions. The aim of this paper is to create an awareness of good reasons why a business firm should act ethically. By so doing, they make it easier for their individual members to live ethical lives. Hence, they tend to acquire good reputation, win the trust of other parties and foster among their employees an attitude of commitment to the firms' interests: all these can provide sustainable competitive advantages to the firm.

Introduction

Integrity is an uncompromising adherence to doing what is right and proper. Values such as honesty, reliability and fairness are hallmarks of integrity. Closely related to integrity are ethical issues, which go beyond what is legal or illegal to include more general questions of what is right or wrong, self-interest versus the need of others (Hoffman).

There are two reasons why the ethical quality of the firms matters. In the first place, the ethical standing of an individual is affected by that of the groups with which he is associated; thus, somebody's moral character can be sullied by his being associated with a firm which acts immorally. In the second place, the ethical values of the firm have an important influence on its ability to perform effectively (Elegido, 2000).

Collective Action and Individual Moral Responsibility

Imagine a situation in which John, James and Jake are independent traders who happen to share premises but who otherwise act with total independence of each other. Imagine now that John deceives a In has acted unethically and that James and Jake are not involved the immorality. Depending on the circumstances, James and Jake and have some responsibility to warn the customer if they are aware the poor quality of the good, but this responsibility will be latively weak.

Consider now a situation in which Mich, Mark and Nath are usiness partners and Mark and Nath know that Mich, acting for the numership, is trying to defraud a customer in a way similar to what ohn did in the first example, Mark and Nath are implicated in Mich's mmorality and have a special responsibility, which is definitely gronger than that of strangers to do what they reasonably can to prevent Mich from carrying out his project. If the fraud has already then consummated, they would have a duty to do what they rasonably could to get the partnership to redress the customer's loss. Even if now Mich were to die, they would still have a duty to make the customers loss out of the partnership assets. The principle at stake in these situations should be understood with precision. The root of the matter is that certain individual responsibilities derive from the fact that an individual belongs to a certain community, and they would not obtain if the individual in question did not belong to that community (Grisez & Shaw, 1988). One such responsibility, for instance, is to do what one reasonably

One such responsibility, for instance, is to do what one reasonably can to shape the policies and actions of the groups to which one belongs so that they will be ethical. Another is to take care that the group to which one belongs discharges any responsibility of restitution or redress which the group as such may have, even if this will result in the expenditure of present resources and the people whose decisions caused the harms that now has to be redressed are no longer around. Still another is to stop one's association with a group which is engaged in unethical practices, even if oneself is not doing anything wrong.

An important responsibility, for instance, is to select carefully the groups with which they become associated (Naess, 1989). Specifically from an ethical point of view, one of the most important decisions of a manager is that of deciding to work for a certain firm. It is certainly

an ethical responsibility of the manager to investigate the firm which pretends to hire him at least with the same zeal with which most firms investigate potential candidates. if the investigation reveals that the firm is unethical the thing to do is to look for another job (DeGeorge, 1990).

Ethical Standard and Business Effectiveness

Ethics is fine from the point of view of upholding one's standards, but from the point of view of business effectiveness it makes no discernible difference (Gordon, 1988). To back this view, (Sieff, 1990) points out that often firms which seem honest do not succeed in business, while firms that seem to disregard ethical issues may be quite profitable. There is no denying such observations. All that they tell us is that honesty, by itself, does not guarantee business success. One should remember that brilliant strategy, consistent service to customers, or first class financial management, each by itself, does not guarantee business success either. Business success is the product of the complex interaction of many factors. Any one factor can only contribute to it not guarantee it (Sparks. 1995).

The relevant question is whether consistent ethical behaviour, of itself, contributes positively to business success. It seems clear that it does so by fostering three key ingredients of that success (Domini & Kinder, 1984; Sparkes, 1995). Ethical behaviour contributes to the good reputation of the firm and to other parties being ready to trust it, and it promotes employee commitment to the success of the firm (Peters & Waterman, 1982; Tekija, 1985; Kay, 1993; Collins & Porras, 1994). Besides contributing to business success these factors also have a desirable characteristic of not being easily imitated and therefore can provide a sustainable competitive advantage.

New products or services, organisational structures, compensation policies, exploitation of new assets, are all factors that can provide a competitive advantage. Unfortunately, however, they are easily replicated by one's competitors. On the contrary, factors like reputation, trust and commitment, in so far as they spring from the fact that a firm acts in a consistently ethical way, are far harder to

and can provide competitive advantages that last for decades 983; Sieff, 1990; Gordon, 1988).

way in which reputation, trust and commitment are linked to a ting ethically could be explained thus:

ration and Trust

im has, a reputation, which in good measure depends on its mon, among all the people with whom it relates in the course activities. It not only has a reputation, good or bad, among its at and potential customers, but also among its present and mal employees, investors, suppliers and distributors; it will as be perceived in a certain way by government officials, mass a, and the public. In so far as its actions have consistently sought me into account the interest of all concerned. The firm will have the trust of many of those with whom it relates and it will find it to enter into productive relations with them (Arrow,1974; 1, 1981; Gambetta, 1990). In so far as its actions have only ated the interest of the people who control the firm, its mion will be poor and other parties will tend to mistrust it miel, 1980; Hart, 1988; Smith, Carol & Ashford, 1995).

last a firm has a poor reputation and is mistrusted does not entail other parties will necessarily refuse to deal with it (Fox, 1974). 115 competitors may have equally poor reputation and therefore of reputation may not constitute a special handicap; although a ave reputation would provide a competitive advantage (Hamel, & Prehalad, 1989; Contractor and Lorange, 1988).

ployee Commitment

This refers to the readiness of the employees to devote their orts to promoting the common interest of the firm, rather than using exclusively on advancing their own individual interests, at cost of the firm if need be (Mowday, Porter & Steers, 1982). there is empirical evidence that employee commitment is ociated with greater employee effort, lower employee turnover, greater readiness to contribute to the organisation in ways that not strictly part of the employee's job (Steers, 1977; Angle &

Perry, 1981; Mayer & Schoorman, 1992). Empirical confirmation of this relationship is reported in Hunt, Wood and Chonko (1989); also in Farh, Podsakoff and Organ (1990).

Firms for which High Ethical Standards are Critical

It is clear that a good reputation, the fact of being widely trusted, and a workforce committed to the firm's success will have a positive influence on almost any firms performance. For some firms, such factors are peculiarly critical. The characteristics of a firm that make it the more important for it to have reputation, trust and employee commitment are the following:

a. Key partners of the firm are required to commit significant resources to it in the expectation of future performance by the firm which cannot be efficiently guaranteed by legal methods. b. It is difficult to monitor the quality of work done by the employee. Whenever this is the case the existence of a high degree of employee

commitment will be especially critical.
c. The flow of communication within the firm and/or between it and some of its business partners is crucial to its success. That knowledge is power is a very old insight. Many people, however, fail to grasp this insight: if in giving this information to somebody I am giving him power - often power over oneself-, whenever I cannot trust him I will be inclined to minimise the information I give to him. In other words: for a manager to receive all the information he needs to make the best of all possible decisions, he has to get the people in a position to provide that information to trust him. If abundant, timely, rich and accurate- information is essential to a business, then trust - and therefore ethics is also essential to that business (Culbert & Macdough, 1986).

d. Large size and envisaged long life span. Strictly speaking, a good reputation among business partners does not depend on the firm being ethical, but on its seeming to be so (Bowie and Freeman, 1992). This is true enough. Because of this, it is relatively less important from the point of view of business success — for small firms which envisage to have a short life span to be truly committed to ethical

I ln such firms it is relatively easier to fake honesty and fair

ensen & Me-ckling, 1976).

sential factor that links high ethical standards to business reputation. The degree to which a firm's business partners it depends on its reputation with them, and "employee ent" depends largely on employee being able to trust the which they work, that is to say, on the firm's reputation with employees. It is therefore very important to realise that nis indivisible. Even if a firm treats very well its employees, Inot trust it if they realise that it exploits its customers or They are bound to conclude that they are being treated well of firm principle, which will still be there even in adverse ances, but because somehow it is for the time being in the of those who control the firm to treat them well. Similarly, ers who feel well treated but who realise that creditors are ken advantage of will not be able to trust the firm. can act unethically in any of many different areas. Examples plance with the law, respect of the environment, policies its employees, relations with customers, responsibilities investors, community relations, social responsibilities, we attitude, among others. There is no room for picking and among them. A misstep in any of them will affect the thical reputation of the firm with its business partners and is reputation as a customer, a supplier or an employer.

s is a discipline which seeks to determine the way in which d behave in order to lead a fulfilling life. Ultimately, being

gintelligently (as opposed to being carried away by our urges

g the interest of others into account (as opposed to acting in selfish manner).

g intelligently demands that we consider carefully the best attain our objectives and also the value of those objectives, so pursue only objectives worth attaining. Ultimately the only worthwhile objectives are the aspects of human beings. By giving our intelligence the leading role in our lives we are able to take into account all relevant data, we make it possible to attain internal unity among our different ideas and feelings, and ensure that we pursue worthwhile ends.

We all have very good reasons to take other people's interest into account. If we fail to do so, we will acquire a bad reputation, we will acquire the emotions typical of a bad cooperator, we will isolate ourselves from others (their interests will become less valuable to us) and make it more difficult to initiate or sustain non-manipulative personal relationships, we will increase our tendency to be driven by feelings and emotions rather than by reason, and we will erode our self-esteem.

Business firms have good reasons to act ethically. By so doing, they make it easier for their individual members to live ethical lives. Also, by acting ethically a firm tends to acquire a good reputation, win the trust of other parties and foster among its employees an attitude of commitment to the firm's interests; all these can provide

sustainable competitive advantages to a firm.

High ethical standards are more important for a business firm since the firm has to do business with the partners who are required to commit significant resources without being able to obtain reliable guarantees of performance by the firm, is not able to monitor reliably the quality of the work of its key employees, needs to be able to rely on fast and accurate transmission of information within the firm and/or between it and some of its business associates and is of large size and envisages to have a long life span.